Advancing Data Justice Research and Practice

An Annotated Bibliography and Table of Organisations for the 2022 AI UK event



Advancing Data Justice Research and Practice An Annotated Bibliography and Table of Organisations

This report was developed by Experts and Specialists involved in the Global Partnership on Artificial Intelligence's project on Data Justice. The report reflects the personal opinions of the GPAI Experts and Specialists involved and does not necessarily reflect the views of the Experts' organisations, GPAI, or GPAI Members. GPAI is a separate entity from the OECD and accordingly, the opinions expressed and arguments employed therein do not reflect the views of the OECD or its Members.

Acknowledgements

This report was developed in the context of the Data Justice project, with the steering of the project Co-Leads and the guidance of the Project Advisory Group, supported by the GPAI Data Governance Working Group. The GPAI Data Governance Working Group agreed to declassify this report and make it publicly available.

Co-Leads: Alison Gilwald^{*}, Research ICT Africa Dewey Murdick^{*}, Georgetown University

Project Advisory Group: **Zumrut Muftuoglu****, Yildiz Technical University **PJ Narayanan***, International Institute of Technology **Jaco du Toit****, UNESCO **Teki Akuetteh***, Africa Digital Rights Hub

The report was written by: **David Leslie**[‡], The Alan Turing Institute; **Michael Katell**[‡], The Alan Turing Institute; **Mhairi Aitken**[‡], The Alan Turing Institute; **Jatinder Singh**[‡], The Alan Turing Institute; **Morgan Briggs**[‡], The Alan Turing Institute; **Rosamund Powell**[‡], The Alan Turing Institute; **Cami Rincon**[‡], The Alan Turing Institute; **Antonella Maia Perini**[‡], The Alan Turing Institute; **Smera Jayadeva**[‡], The Alan Turing Institute; **Anjali Mazumder**[‡], The Alan Turing Institute; **Thompson Chengeta**[‡], University of Oxford; **Abeba Birhane**[‡], Mozilla Foundation; with the supervision of the following GPAI Experts: **Alison Gillwald**^{*}, Research ICT Africa; **Dewey Murdick**^{*}, Georgetown University; **Jeni Tennison**^{*}, Connected by Data; and **Maja Bogataj Jančič**^{*}, Intellectual Property Institute.

This research was supported, in part, by a grant from ESRC (ES/T007354/1), Towards Turing 2.0under the EPSRC Grant EP/W037211/1, and from the public funds that make the Turing's Public Policy Programme possible.

The creation of this material would not have been possible without the support and efforts of various partners and collaborators. The contracted parties would like to acknowledge their 12 Policy Pilot Partners—AfroLeadership, CIPESA, CIPIT, WOUGNET, Gob_Lab UAI, ITS Rio, Internet Bolivia, Digital Empowerment Foundation, Digital Natives Academy, Digital Rights Foundation, Open Data China, and EngageMedia—for their extensive contributions and input. The research that each of these partners conducted has contributed so much to the advancement of data justice research and practice and to the understanding of this area. The contracted parties would like to thank Thompson Chengeta, Noopur Raval, and Alicia Boyd, and the Advisory Board members, Nii Narku Quaynor, Araba Sey, Judith Okonkwo, Annette Braunack-Mayer, Mohan Dutta, Maru Mora Villalpando, Salima Bah, Os Keyes, Verónica Achá Alvarez, Oluwatoyin Sanni, and Nushin Isabelle Yazdani whose expertise, wisdom, and lived experiences have provided a wide range of insights that proved invaluable throughout this research.



The contracted parties would also like to thank those individuals and communities who engaged with the participatory platform on decidim and whose thoughts and opinions on data justice greatly informed the framing of this project. All of these contributions have demonstrated the pressing need for a relocation of data justice and we hope to have emphasised this throughout our research outputs. Finally, the contracted parties would like to acknowledge the tireless efforts of colleagues at the International Centre of Expertise in Montréal and GPAI's Data Governance Working Group. We are grateful, in particular, for the unbending support of Ed Teather, Sophie Fallaha, Jacques Rajotte, and Noémie Gervais from CEIMIA, and for the indefatigable dedication of the working group co-chairs and project's co-leads of Alison Gillwald, Dewey Murdick, Jeni Tennison, Maja Bogataj Jančič, and all other members of the Data Governance Working Group.

* Expert of GPAI's Data Governance Working Group ** Observer at GPAI's Data Governance Working Group

- + Invited Specialist
- ‡ Contracted parties by the CEIMIA

This work is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 which permits unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited. The license is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/legalcode

Citation

GPAI (2022). Data Justice: Advancing Data Justice Research and Practice: Annotated Bibliography and Table of Organisations, Report, November 2022, Global Partnership on AI.



Contents

Annotated Bibliography	5
The Geopolitics of Data Power, Essential Digital Infrastructures, and Data Flows	5
Data Colonialism, Data Activism, and De-colonial AI	10
Economic and Distributive Justice	11
Identity, Democratic Agency, and Data Injustice	13
Adjacent Justice Literatures and Social Mobilisation	17
Knowledge, Plurality, and Power	22
Non-Western and Intercultural Approaches to Data Justice and Injustice	24
Table of Organisations Conducting Data Justice and Data Justice Adjacen	t Work 26
Americas	26
Asia	
Africa	60
Oceania	76
Europe	84
Bibliography	

This document is divided into two primary sections. The first is an **annotated bibliography** of works related to our integrated literature review on data justice, and the second is a **table of organisations** conducting data justice or data justice adjacent work.

The **annotated bibliography** contains works relevant to each theme of the integrated literature review which is an accompanying document to this resource. Within each theme and sub-theme key works as well as summaries are provided to direct the reader to additional readings about the topics. This annotated bibliography is not an exhaustive resource, but rather meant to serve as a starting point for learning more about these topics.

The **table of organisations** contains information about organisations conducting data justice or adjacent data justice work across the globe. To ensure the inclusion of a diverse set of organisations from across the globe and across relevant stakeholder groups, the team adopted a three-pronged approach to the identification of organisations. First, recommendations were taken from our existing advisory board members whose expertise on data justice within their regions of operation allowed them to identify organisations which might have been missed. Second, existing networks were examined to identify small organisations working at the intersection of datafication and social justice. This included the Association of Progressive Communications whose aim is 'empowering and supporting people working for peace, human rights, development and protection of the environment, through the strategic use of information and technologies and Privacy International who aim 'to protect democracy, defend people's dignity, and demand accountability from institutions who breach public trust'. Third, through active research and cascading search, additional organisations were identified based on prior work on datafication and social justice, previous experience of stakeholder engagement, and strong networks among relevant stakeholder groups.

The table serves three primary purposes: (1) It embeds the important and critical work of organisations conducting data justice or adjacent data justice work in the themes and pillars outlined and detailed in the integrated literature review; (2) it demonstrates the diversity of data justice work occurring across geographies, methodologies, approaches, and intended end goals; and (3) it serves as a living repository of organisations carrying out this critical day-to-day work which can engender future collaborations and networking across the data justice environment.

It is organised by five geographic regions: the Americas, Asia, Africa, Oceania, and Europe. Within each region, information about each organisation is detailed including their location by country, mission statement, an example of data activism carried out by the organisation, themes contained in the integrated literature review with which their work aligns, and key pillars – extracted from the integrated literature review – exemplified by the organisation's work. This is not an exhaustive list of organisations across the globe, but rather a starting point and living repository of organisations carrying out meaningful data justice or adjacent data justice work.

Annotated Bibliography

The Geopolitics of Data Power, Essential Digital Infrastructures, and Data Flows

Geopolitics of Data Power



Relevant Works	Summary
Pauwels, 2019	Pauwels explores the vulnerabilities which will emerge as a consequence of AI convergence, from national security to increased inequality. She explores the possible strategies the UN can take to avert harms and proposes the UN introduce a "Global Foresight Observatory for AI Convergence".
Miailhe, 2018	This paper accounts for the major global trends in geopolitics and AI including the status of China and the US as a 'duopoly', Europe as lagging behind, and Africa as a 'battlefield' for digital empires.
Rosenbach & Mansted, 2019	This report explores the reasons information brings global power and argues the US is not prepared for current global competition on AI. They propose four principles for a US national strategy which would allow the US to continue as a key competitor.
Villasenor, 2018	Villasenor argues that AI is not a zero-sum game, and that the US has many advantages, in particular when it comes to existing technology and 'human capital'. This means, for the US the greatest challenge will likely be policy.
Ding, 2018	This in-depth exploration of China's AI strategy as of 2017 links the current state of play to longer term trajectories of STEM policy while addressing complexities in China's AI dream which are often neglected in popular, Western accounts.

Digital Infrastructures



Relevant Works	Summary
Kalluri, 2020	Kalluri argues that it is relatively easy for AI systems to be presented as "fair" or "good" given the multiple interpretations of these claims. It is more relevant to ask how these systems are shifting power, and to ask whether researchers have considered shifting power to the communities who have been adversely impacted by such systems in the past.
Cohen, 2019	This book explores the ways in which legal and political mechanisms have been actively adapted to the rise of information capitalism, including datafication, platform-enabled transactions, and more.
Dijck, et al., 2018	This book provides an overarching account of a society where digitally enabled platforms have transformed the world of work and of social interactions and resulted in fundamental shifts to labour markets, human interaction, and even democratic elections.
Cobbe, et al., 2021	The authors introduce a framework for algorithmic accountability termed 'reviewability'. They argue that algorithmic decision-making is a sociotechnical process and so a meaningful review process must respond both to individual decisions and the big-picture process. This practical approach takes administrative law as its inspiration and discusses the need to provide 'contextually appropriate' information to support different forms of accountability.
Shankar, et al., 2017	Large datasets used to train machine learning systems have been shown at times to be Amero- and Eurocentric. This paper shows that these geographic biases have an impact on these model's results and consequently argues that developers must consider "geo-representation" as a priority when constructing datasets, especially for use in the developing world.



Jurisdictions and Data Flows

	61	00	
a Flows	- 	ΠĂ	P

Relevant Works	Summary
Chander & Le, 2014	This paper by Chander and Le argues that governments' imposing of restrictions on global data flows are not only obstructing innovation in information technology, but these data localisation policies are also a threat to 'social, economic, and civil rights' as governments gain control over the information consumers and businesses have access to.
Cory, 2017	This paper outlines the economic value of unrestricted data flows alongside the increasing restrictions being placed on international data transfer by certain governments. Cory argues these policies are not only harmful to the global economy but also to the nation states who themselves introduce such restrictive policies.
Internet Society, 2019	This Internet Society report explores the evolution of the internet, focusing on the dominance of the largest digital platforms in recent years. They explore benefits but also focus on five trends which could result in harmful impacts due to the increasing concentration of economic control in the hands of just a few large corporations.
Rahman, 2018	Rahman addresses the contemporary debate over the regulation of private power, focusing on those private actors who control access to essential services and 'infrastructural goods'. The aim is to introduce systems which address the inequalities in opportunity which have resulted from these concentrations of private power.

Data-Driven State and Surveillance Capitalism



Relevant Works	Summary
Zuboff, 2019	This book focuses on the rise of profit-oriented logic that capitalises on human data and information for behaviour modification as well as economic oppression.
Browne, 2015	This book presents an analysis of how surveillance theory and practice is moulded and fashioned on historical racial injustice and inequality. Browne argues that within the frame of transatlantic slavery, the policing and surveillance of black bodies is not only a historic social method but continues to determine contemporary socio-political practices.
Ball et al., 2012	This handbook provides an overview of the critical discourse on surveillance through contributions that highlight concepts including power and discipline, economics, race, gender, privacy, identity, security, and justice.
Lyon, 2019	This chapter by Lyon is framed on surveillance culture and surveillance capitalism to emphasise the similarities and distinct consequences as a method of further evaluating data politics. Particularly, Lyon highlights surveillance as an instrument for power relations and social order.
Cinnamon, 2017	Cinnamon raises the need for conceptual development of the social harms caused by the asymmetries in data accumulation and the algorithmic processes of surveillance capitalism that categorise, misrepresent, and further marginalise groups in society. They draw on the concept of 'abnormal justice' to highlight the economic injustice cause by separation of individuals from their data.
Arora, 2016	Arora reorients contemporary debates on Big Data away from Western-dominant concerns and issues towards the Global South. The article argues against notions of harmony between state and data to instead emphasise the prevalence of historical and colonial classification that may be reified under state or corporate surveillance.
Andrejevic, 2007	This book explores the relation between surveillance and contemporary technology as it not only mines consumer data at an accelerated rate but also generates more information through interactions within the 'digital enclosure'.
Degli Esposti, 2014	This article comprehensively explores how data is used to achieve business objectives through "dataveillance" and analytics. It reveals the multifaceted approaches to capitalising on individual data.
Dencik et al., 2016	Dencik et al. forwards data justice to contextualise contemporary data-driven processes within a broad framework beyond concerns of individual privacy. They also highlight the utility of data justice as a 'potential action-building tool' to address concerns of datafication, surveillance, exclusion, and power asymmetries.
Andrew & Baker, 2019	This article explores the strengths of the GDPR in protecting individual privacy and surveillance concerns. However, they note how the collection, analysis, and sale of data in 'behavioural futures markets' is only marginally regulated.



Human Rights in Data Infrastructures and AI Systems



Relevant Works	Summary
Abraham, 2015	Abraham argues that raw materials that are foundational to modern technologies and the supply chains that deliver them are sites of oppressive labour conditions and warfare. Additionally, Abraham claims that the human and environmental costs required to build the products we rely on are significant and create concentrations of power that produce a range of human rights and other harms.
Berditchevskaia et al., 2021	Berditchevskaia et al. claim that AI poses new risks to the rights and freedoms of the communities who are the recipients of humanitarian aid and threaten to reinforce the power imbalances that have shaped past humanitarian interventions.
McGregor et al., 2019	In this article, McGregor et al. argue that international human rights law can add to AI Ethics to provide means of defining and assessing algorithmic harm, impose specific obligations on states, and offer prescriptions for monitoring and oversight.
OECD, 2016	This OECD document provides 'due diligence' guidance for promoting human rights in mineral supply chains through multi-stakeholder strategies of supply chain transparency and management.
United Nations Secretary— General's Independent Expert Advisory Group, 2014	This report from the Independent Expert Advisory Group provides guidance for Member States in collecting and using data in furtherance of the UN Sustainable Development Agenda. The report obligates States to share data on the indicators while cautioning them to respect human rights while doing so.
Whittaker et al., 2018	Whittaker et al. claim that AI technologies pose myriad challenges to human rights including discriminatory designs and the enablement of oppressive and anti-democratic government surveillance activities by commercial technology providers.

Data Colonialism, Data Activism, and De-colonial Al



Relevant Works	Summary
Al Dahdah & Quet, 2020	This paper draws attention to the increasing use of digital tools in development, as well as large datasets. The authors explain how this evolution can be attributed to three major changes: diversification of economic strategies, changes in the relations between both public and private institutions in the Global South(s), and the 'reconfiguration' of control, exclusion, and inequalities. The authors argue that digital devices serve to exacerbate and reformulate existing inequalities, not alleviate them.
Cinnamon, 2019	This paper incorporates critical data studies and insight from the field of data-for-development to analyse data inequalities. The author examines three 'data divides' including access, representation of the world as data, and data flow controls. These examples are presented with possible causes, consequences, and solutions, as well as through multiple examples.
Couldry & Mejias, 2018	This paper has provided the foundation for thinking about extractive data practices, by introducing a new type of colonialism—data colonialism—that is distinctive to the 21st century. The authors explain the impacts of these exploitative practices on communities and highlight the importance of resisting such practices.
Magalhães & Couldry, 2021	This paper focuses on Big Tech's "AI for social good" movements that have led to increased datafication and profit maximisation by large corporations. This analysis is situated in the landscape of data colonialism, and the authors explain how often these projects intrude on existing freedoms, because 'in order to "give" 'Big Tech must often take away'.
Milan & Treré, 2019	This paper challenges the existing meaning of 'Global South', calling for an understanding that acknowledges the South(s) as a 'composite and plural entity'. The authors simultaneously call for the de-Westernization of critical data studies and a decolonial approach to data practices.
Mohamed et al., 2020	This paper explicates the important of decolonial theories in the field of artificial intelligence. The authors present three proposals to contribute towards the creation of a decolonial field of artificial intelligence: 'creating a critical technical practice of AI', seeking reverse tutelage and reverse pedagogies, and the renewal of affective and political communities'.
Ahmed & Wahed, 2020	This paper draws attention to the compute divide that has granted unequal access to computing power to both Big Tech and elite universities. The authors argue that this creates barriers towards 'democratizing' AI and increases bias and fairness concerns.



Economic and Distributive Justice

Innovation, Diffusion, and Redistribution



Relevant Works	Summary
Cath et al., 2018	This paper evaluates policies from the EU, US, and UK according to whether they effectively promote a 'good AI society'. In doing so, authors argue that each region, to differing extents, relies on assumptions of a 'liberal notion of the free market'. These assumptions frame AI strategies' prioritisation of innovation, sometimes leading to decreased regulation.
OECD, 2021	This policy analysis maps attempts to consider SMEs in AI policies across OECD countries, including policies focused both on encouraging innovation and facilitating diffusion.
Mann, 2017	This paper argues that the deployment of data for development in the developing world is not a 'win-win'. Instead, the economic benefit goes to multinational corporations, while developing countries become an innovation playground.
Singh & Gurumurthy, 2021	This comprehensive review of the economic governance of data provides suggestions for a collective approach to governance while also outlining limitations of existing legislation, such as competition law.
Khan & Vaheesan, 2017	This paper argues that the role of monopoly and oligopoly is underexplored with regard to economic justice. It outlines limitations in existing antitrust legislation but also argues 'restoring antitrust is likely to have progressive distributive effects'. Now appointed by Joe Biden to enact this in the Federal Trade Commissions, Khan's ideas are beginning to play out in practice.
Rathi & Tandon, 2021	This study of platform mediated gig work argues that the gig economy in southern informal economies is an 'understudied frontier'. It also discusses the need to reimagine the platform economy in a way which 'empowers workers' and creates 'collective bargaining power'.
Srnicek, 2017	This book connects the economic inequities that have arisen in the age of platforms of the gig economy and of the fourth industrial revolution with the longer historical trajectories which have brought us here. Srnicek brings the economic history together with histories of digital technology to reveal continuities.
Barbrook & Cameron, 1995	On the rise of dot.com, neoliberalism and the economic assumptions and identities it encompasses are explored.
Agrawal, et al., 2019	This paper provides an overview of policies likely to affect the impact of AI on the economy. The authors highlight the importance of policies that enhance diffusion as well as policies which address the consequences of diffusion, for example education and social safety net policies which address job losses resulting from automation.



Economic Governance of Data



Relevant Works	Summary
Singh & Gurumurthy, 2021	This comprehensive report covers the limitations of existing approaches to economic governance of data and proposes that individualised strategies will be insufficient. The authors argue that a collective approach to the economic governance of data is required.
Public Services International, 2021	This report explores the role unions can and should play in advancing data justice. Authors propose that unions can use their collective power to adopt strategies including 'worker data collectives' where members' data is pooled into data trusts.
Zuboff, 2019	This book accounts for how datafication has contributed to economic oppression and how existing political, social, and economic structures have allowed this to occur.
O'Neil, 2016	Borne out of the 2009 financial crash, this book outlines the myriad of ways in which algorithms can lead to discrimination, economic and otherwise.
Adler-Bell & Miller, 2018	This Century Foundation report outlines the ways in which the economic power imbalances of surveillance capitalism apply not just to the consumer-platform relationship but also to the employee-employer dynamic. The authors claim that employment and labour law are not sufficient to moderate the power balance between employers and employees in the context of datafication.
Arrieta Ibarra et al., 2018	This paper argues against the status quo whereby data is treated as 'capital created by corporations'. This overlooks the role played by users who create data. Instead, the authors propose a radical data market where data labour contributes to income.
Spiekerman et al., 2021	Spiekermann addresses some of the reasons the status quo favours 'big tech'. The paper proposes a 'progressive tax for data use' that targets large corporations to the benefit of public sector and not-for-profit users of data.
Morozov, 2019	Morozov argues against approaches to data ownership which would make consumers shareholders who benefit financially. Instead, he proposes a system for collective, public ownership of data, with various groupings of data created with differential access.
Delacroix & Lawrence, 2019	This paper argues that data ownership offers an inadequate answer to the economic and other injustices experienced by 'data subjects'. Instead, bottom-up data trusts which harness the power of aggregate data and offer individuals numerous options, tailored to their needs, can help to empower 'data subjects'.



Identity, Democratic Agency, and Data Injustice



Relevant Works	Summary
D'Ignazio & Klein, 2020	<i>Data Feminism</i> is a key work in data feminism literature. The book discusses data science practices in ways informed by intersectional feminist thought. The book includes examples of inequalities and discrimination that occur in existing data practices, and the authors offer strategies for working towards justice through a feminist lens.
Buolamwini & Gebru, 2018	This paper sheds light on discrimination and bias that occurs in automated facial analysis algorithms, demonstrating that darker-skinned females are the most misclassified group. When analysing two benchmark datasets, they find that the data is overwhelmingly made up of lighter-skinned individuals. The authors propose a new dataset that is balanced by both gender and skin type.
Cifor et al., 2019	The <i>Feminist Data Manifest-No</i> is a list of refusals and commitments, specifically a refusal of harmful data practices and regimes and a commitment to different practices that establish new futures. This document is a very important piece of work in data feminism, and it draws attention to topics such as dehumanisation, data extractivism, explainability, mobilisation, and feminist problem-solving.
Noble, 2018	This book is a deep-dive into the discriminatory biases that arise in Google search algorithms. Noble explicates this ideas by demonstrating series of search results when comparing search words like 'white' and 'Asian', or 'Black'. Noble argues that these search algorithms discriminate against women of colour and perpetuate existing forms of inequality.



Design Justice



Relevant Works	Summary
Abebe et al., 2020	This article provides a taxonomy that codifies the ways in which the computer science discipline reproduces injustice and inequality and can alternatively challenge it.
Costanza-Chock, 2020	Costanza-Chock argues that design justice urges us to consider how design distributes both penalty and privilege to individuals based on their location within the matrix of domination. This work positions design justice as an expression of feminist and critical perspectives on the path toward liberation as an outcome of design.
Katell et al., 2020	Katell at al. claim that participatory research and development methods in AI can shift technological power to persons whose oppression is potentially enabled and amplified by the design and implementation of data-driven technologies.
Lewis et al., 2018	The Digital Design Playbook details experiences and strategies for shifting power to marginalised adults in decision-making about data collection, privacy, and security. It reflects the operating principles of the Our Data Bodies project, which is a collaborative, participatory research and organising effort operating in several US cities.
Sloane et al., 2020	Sloane et al. caution against 'participant-washing', particularly in the scaling of ML datasets. They identify 'participation as labour' as extractive, 'participation as consultation', as an extension of colonialism, and 'participation as justice' as meaningful only with a long-term commitment to the involved communities.
Weidler-Lewis & Graville, 2019	Weidler-Lewis & Graville document co-design activities with incarcerated women and explore 'critical making' and 'expansive learning' to challenge and assert agency in tech-related design and decision-making.



Relevant Works	Summary				
Alexander & West, 2012	Alexander & West discuss the history of structural racism in the US, arguing that Jim Crow Laws and the War on Drugs is a modern mechanism aimed to maintain the racial caste system established in the slave era within present day constraints.				
Benjamin, 2019	Benjamin discusses the codification of race into technology and illustrates a range of discriminatory technologies that may be perceived as benevolent but in fact maintain and aggravate racial hierarchies through their embedded racial codes.				
Milner & Traub, 2021	Milner and Traub discuss the concept of "Data Capitalism", or the modern-day use of data to extract power from Black communities and other communities for profit. They contextualise these practices within a legacy of chattel slavery.				
Browne, 2015	Browne discusses how present-day surveillance practices descend from a history of Black surveillance dating back to transatlantic slavery. She discusses how these practices serve to reify racial categories and their political implications, as well as the potential to resist surveillance.				
Atanasoski & Vora, 2019	Atanasoski and Vora discuss robots and AI as surrogates undertaking the labour performed by racialised, gendered, and colonised populations. Through this framing, they bring to light the figurations of what is considered human and non-human within Western European and US imperial imaginaries. They discuss race as a technology that creates differentiation between humans to create a tiered society and position humanity as something to be achieved. Instead of supporting the expansion of categories such as intelligence and other categories that constitute the liberal subject (which is attributed humanity) to include a wider range of identities, they propose the disruption of the value placed on such categories to halt what they title "technoliberal" modes of figuring human freedom.				
Gebru, 2019	In "Race and Gender", Gebru discusses the role of race and gender in AI by investigating issues arising for marginalised people through the increasing diffusion of this technology. Gebru poses the need for a holistic, inclusive, and historically aware approach to resolving the discriminatory harms presented by this technology.				
Braun, 2015	In <i>Race, ethnicity, and lung function: a brief history</i> , Braun examines the history of "race correction" methods within Spirometry—deriving from practices dating back to the slavery era in the United States— to contextualise how physical distinctions between colonial settlers and slaves were documented. Braun discusses how these frameworks do not account for social factors that influence biology.				



Leslie et al., 2021	Leslie et al. discuss the susceptibility of AI to algorithmic biases that entrench and augment existing inequalities. They discuss the harms of uncritically deploying AI within the medical field, highlighting how systemic racism, histories of marginalisation, and structural inequalities contribute to the risks that AI poses to amplifying the pandemic's disparate effects on vulnerable groups and exacerbating health inequality.
Richardson et al., 2019	Richardson et al. discuss the increasing adoption of predictive policing systems using a variety of case studies to highlight how histories of unlawful and discriminatory practices shape the data used to develop predictive policing systems, and how these systems in turn risk perpetuating additional harm through outputs that trigger feedback loops throughout the criminal justice system.

Adjacent Justice Literatures and Social Mobilisation

Environmental and Climate Justice



Relevant Works	Summary
Longdon, 2020	This article notes the increasing role of data science in environmental science, including in finding better ways to manage resources, forecasting future crises, and tracking progress against the Sustainable Development Goals. However, it notes that a justice-oriented approach is needed to ensure that data collected and used does not exacerbate existing inequalities within society. It proposes that the field of Environmental Data Justice aims to create future technologies that 'enable greater well-being, with the goal of beneficence and justice for all'. Longdon notes that there is 'growing momentum behind more decentralised, community-led methods of gathering, and analysing data. The challenge will be developing these methods in ways which contend with existing infrastructures and shift the landscape towards greater justice'.
Walker et al., 2018	This paper reflects on the Environmental Data and Governance Initiative (EDGI)'s "Data Together" project which is developing infrastructures for the community stewardship of data. "Data Together" prioritises community self-determination and draws on environmental justice, critical GIS, critical data studies, and emerging data justice scholarship to develop alternative futures for data stewardship.

Global Public Health Justice



Relevant Works	Summary			
Macdonald, 2009	This book approaches global health inequities through the lens of neoliberalism. MacDonald argues that the current dominance of neoliberal models of economic progress was not inevitable, and this approach can be overturned to the benefit of global health equity. MacDonald also explores the flawed contributions of the United Nations to global health justice. He nevertheless advocates for improving rather than abandoning these existing UN agencies so they can use their unique leverage as global representatives to become a voice for 'the moral imperative of internationalism'.			
Biehl & Petryna, 2013	This book draws together contributions across a range of fields to argue that a multidisciplinary approach is needed to advance global health justice. Authors emphasise through ethnographic case studies the importance of balancing both local and global scales. They also argue there is a need to understand the relationships between public and private as well as local, national, and international actors operating in the field of health justice.			
Cash & Patel, 2020	COVID-19 has both exposed existing inequities and altered the landscape of global health, exacerbating existing injustices. This article explores how the pandemic has exposed a "one- size fits all" approach to global health adopted by the world's richest countries. The authors question this approach and advocate that context, as well as equity, must be a central guiding principle for global public health justice.			
World Health Organisation, 2021	This report summarises progress and limitations in the WHO's and others' actions to address the social determinants of health and mitigate inequity. It also explores the impact of COVID-19 and measures taken by the WHO to prevent the pandemic from exacerbating global health inequities.			

Culture-Centred Communication for Social Change



Relevant Works	Summary
Dutta, 2011	In this text, Dutta argues in favour of a 'culture-centred' approach to communication in order to enact transformative social change rather than reinforce the status quo. He critiques neoliberalism and existing approaches to international development for reifying existing power structures, where those at the margins lack agency and instead proposes a radical bottom-up approach.
Dutta, 2012	Dutta again explores the culture-centred approach to communication in order to foreground the ways in which 'disenfranchised communities' are 'seeking to transform the political, economic, and social configurations that have excluded them'.
Obregon & Waisbord, 2012	This volume brings together numerous contributions, each of which underlines the extent to which communication has been a key cornerstone in global public health. Obregon and Waisbord's chapter draws particular attention to divisions in the field of health communication and the possible convergence of fundamentally contrasting approaches to health communication.
Dutta, 2020	Dutta explores dominant approaches to communicating social change in order to expose how they have led to individualistic frameworks which have either framed social change as 'the solution to the problem of culture' or turned culture itself into a tool to advance 'neoliberal policies'. Mapping out these mainstream approaches creates an entry point for those who wish to resist this hegemony. Ultimately, this text frames 'social change communication as academic-activist-community partnerships'.

Participatory Learning and Action Theory

Relevant Works	Summary
De Brún et al., 2017	De Brún et al. explore the use of Participatory Learning and Action (PLA) in healthcare settings to evaluate its use as a method for meaningful stakeholder engagement. They map out the elements of PLA that contribute to meaningful dialogue between stakeholders and conclude that PLA sessions successfully promoted meaningful and productive interstakeholder dialogue.
Costello, 2018	Costello discusses the PLA method of sympathy groups and illustrates their use in community groups in lower- and middle-income countries. These case studies are used to evaluate the impact of sympathy groups, concluding that PLA approaches that account for potentially harmful power dynamics may succeed in bettering community outcomes.
Prost et al., 2013	Prost et al. compare the effects of PLA methods on women's groups in Bangladesh, India, Malawi, and Nepal with those of usual care. Through a systemic review of randomised controlled trials, they discuss PLA as a cost-effective strategy to improve maternal and neo-natal survival in low-resource settings.
O'Donnell et al., 2016	O'Donnell et al. discuss a PLA research study exploring barriers and facilitators to primary healthcare access from the perspective of members of varied marginalised groups ranging from migrants to individuals living in depravation to sex workers. They discuss the use of PLA methods for data generation (including flexible brainstorming and card sorting) and co-analysis (including the opportunity for participants to revise their contributions). Four overarching themes illustrating the complex personal and structural barriers to healthcare among marginalised groups are discussed, alongside priorities for action delineated by participants.
Roy et al., 2013.	Roy et al. discuss a randomized controlled trial investigating the sustainable and replicable effect of women's group meetings involving PLA on neonatal survival in rural India. They use logistic regression to examine neonatal mortality rates in control and experimental geographic groups, finding that factors such as hygiene, thermal care, and exclusive breastfeeding contributed to a reduction in mortality rates, and that the effects of PLA interventions were replicable across groups.



Relevant Works	Summary
Zehr & Gohar, 2003	An overview of the concept of restorative justice, what it is not, the principles and goals of restorative justice, and an introduction to various restorative justice practices is provided. This piece is a good starting point for becoming acquainted with the term, written by one of the pioneers of the term restorative justice, Howard Zehr, with notes from Ali Gohar, Executive Director of Just Peace Initiatives.
Johnstone, 2002	Johnstone provides an introduction—geared towards newcomers to the concept— to the ideas and values of restorative justice, including both arguments for and against. Additionally, Johnstone explores the debate surrounding the meaning of restorative justice and its applications and significance in practice.
Daly, 2016	Daly provides an overview of the contrasting opinions on what restorative justice is and where it comes from, as well as critiques of the concept. Daly also makes the argument that restorative justice is not a type of justice but rather a justice mechanism that falls under an 'innovative justice umbrella' and provides a working definition the term moving forward.
Van Ness, 2005	This paper provides an overview of restorative justice practices being used around the world, along with outcomes and values associated with these practices. The document includes a detailed analysis of various uses of restorative justice processes in the criminal justice system including uses by police, prosecutors, probation officers, etc.
Johnstone & Van Ness, 2007	The Handbook of Restorative Justice contains chapters written by many authors in the field of restorative justice. Part 1 focuses a lot of attention on restorative justice as a concept—how is it defined and what types of values are included in its definition. Part 2 speaks to the roots of restorative justice, whereas Parts 3 and 4 focus on outcomes and stakeholders involved, as well as applications of restorative justice in a social context. The final three parts include an evaluation of the concept, its global appeal including regional views, and the future of restorative justice moving forward.
Zernova, 2007	Zernova provides a very in depth-analysis of the concept of restorative justice and its critiques. The book is divided into five main sections. The first focuses on instances of restorative action taking place, followed by ideals, and the gaps between ideals and realities. The fourth and fifth chapters consist of discussions surrounding problematic ideals and a re-examination of restorative ideals.



Knowledge, Plurality, and Power

Power and STS Perspective



Relevant Works	Summary
Ribes, 2019	Ribes presents a review of cross-cutting interests between the fields of STS and data science, noting that these fields 'share surprising elective affinities'. Ribes discusses the similarities and tensions in the ways in which STS and data science frame data science as a simultaneously social and technical endeavour. The paper outlines analytic and practical challenges for STS in engaging with data science but also opportunities. Ribes concludes by urging STS scholars to strategically position themselves to investigate and contribute to the field of data science and shape its role and impacts within society.
Jasanoff, 2005	This book compares the politics and policy of life sciences in the UK, Germany, the United States, and in the European Union as a whole. Jasanoff sets out the significance of political factors in shaping science and technology and that political cultures influence the ways in which people understand and evaluate public knowledge. Jasanoff demonstrates the importance of political interests and influences in shaping perceived credibility and legitimacy of claims to knowledge or expertise, and thereby the perceived legitimacy of state actions. This has clear implications for democratic accountability, citizenship, and public deliberation.

Pluriverse and Post-Development Theory



Relevant Works	Summary			
Dussel et al., 2000	Dussel et al. support arguments for pluriversality by critiquing Eurocentrism and problematising the belief that European thought is the direct descendant of ancient legacies of human knowledge, such as the works of classical Greece, which are more accurately the legacy of knowledge systems dismissed by Eurocentrists.			
Kothari et al., 2019	Kothari et al. describe the multi-faceted global crises that cannot be addressed by the 'development as progress' paradigm and document the structural and cultural movements inspiring people to meet their needs in ways that assert the rights and dignity of Earth and its threatened inhabitants.			
Matthews, 2004	Matthews argues that among the weaknesses of post-development theory is how little is said about the African context. Consideration and study of this context and the failures of African development projects may provide a roadmap of alternatives that address the problems of endemic poverty and inequity.			
Reiter, 2018	Reiter provides an entry point into the 'pluriverse', a world of worlds in which ideas and traditions from non-Western thought move from the periphery to the centre of discourse and the search for solutions to global crises.			
Sachs, 2010	Sachs critiques international development as a means of reproducing harmful consumerist and productive practices in the Global South, leading to environmental and cultural degradation. Rather than achieving shared prosperity, international development creates the conditions for new social divisions and concentrations of power to the disadvantage of ordinary people. Sachs theorises post-development as oppositional to fossil fuel economies and emphasis on secularised notions of efficiency.			

Non-Western and Intercultural Approaches to Data Justice and Injustice

Intercultural Communication and Contestation



Relevant Works	Summary
Nakayama & Halualani, 2010	Nakayama and Halualani present <i>Critical Intercultural Communication Studies</i> as a framework within the field of Intercultural Communication focusing on culture, communication, and power. Critical Intercultural Communication Studies moves away from analysing culture as a neutral and fixed set of attributes characterising geographically binding groups, and instead presents culture as a site of ideological struggle. This handbook provides a series of contributions highlighting developments within this sub-field.
Halualani, 2019	Halualani provides an analysis of power within intercultural communication, particularly as related to micro-macro interactions between social entities. Halualani presents the context of globalisation among other features informing the development of cultural views and ways of life.
Peeren et al., 2018	This textbook provides a series of contributions analysing political movements adopting 'cultures of contestation', in the context of advanced globalisation and digitisation in the 2010s. It highlights the role of digital communication as method and context for mobilisation and for movements to influence each other.
Abdilla et al., 2020	This document offers a range of considerations for prioritising Indigenous perspectives in the development of AI. As related to Intercultural Communication and Contestation literature, it discusses the colonisation of culture via digital technology.



Relevant Works	Summary
Rainie et al., 2019	The State of Open Data: Histories and Horizons contains a special issue section on Indigenous Data Sovereignty. The section introduces Indigenous Data Sovereignty and provides specific examples from across the world. The section also explores how aspects of the Open Data Charter serve as challenges to Indigenous peoples, and the authors present ways of moving forward.
Kukutai & Taylor, 2016	This book is one of the most detailed analyses of Indigenous Data Sovereignty to date. The book is divided into four main parts: 'Decolonising indigenous data', 'Critiques of official statistics', 'Data sovereignty in practice', and 'State agency responses.'
Te Mana Raraunga, n.d.	The <u>Te Mana Raraunga – Māori Data Sovereignty Network Charter</u> is a critical document for advancing Indigenous Data Sovereignty. The Charter outlines Te Mana Raraunga's purpose which includes items like asserting Māori rights and interests in relation to data and advocating for Māori involvement in the governance of data repositories.
Rainie et al., 2017	The authors provide a call to action centred around Indigenous Data Sovereignty. The policy brief begins with an introduction to concepts of "data" and "data sovereignty," as well as offers a series of recommendations for working towards the decolonisation of data and promotion of Indigenous Data Sovereignty.
Rodriguez-Lonebear & Rainie, 2016	The US Indigenous Data Sovereignty Network (USIDSN) is a group dedicated to 'ensuring that data for and about Indigenous nations and peoples in the US are utilised to advance Indigenous aspirations for collective and individual wellbeing'. The group contributes to existing definitions of Indigenous Data Sovereignty. The website contains policy briefs, as well as books and papers all on the topic of Indigenous Data Sovereignty.



Table of Organisations Conducting Data Justice and Data Justice Adjacent Work

Organisation	Country	Mission	Data Activism	Theme(s)	Pillar(s)
	Americas				
Asociación por los Derechos Civiles Founded in 1995	South America Argentina	'ADC is a civil society organization based in Argentina that, since its foundation in 1995, works to defend and promote civil and human rights in Argentina and Latin America'.	ADC manages <u>Quiero Mis Datos</u> (translated as "I Want My Data"), a web application that supports users in exercising their right of access by facilitating the drafting and sending of subject access requests through a step-by-step process.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Power, Participation, Access, Equity
Algorithmic Justice League Founded in 2016	North America US	'The Algorithmic Justice League is an organization that combines art and research to illuminate the social implications and harms of artificial intelligence'.	The AJL has sent letters to the US Congress, testified in hearings within the US House of Representatives, and drafted whitepapers advocating for state regulation of facial recognition technologies, against a self-regulated industry consortium as a means of addressing the risks of this technology.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Power, Identity

Centro de	North	'The Research Center in	CITSAC created <u>Telecomunicaciones</u>	Data colonialism,	Power, Access
Investigación	America	Technologies and Community	Indígenas Comunitarias (translated	data activism, and	
en Tecnologías		Knowledges (CITSAC) is a space	as "Indigenous Community	de-colonial Al	
y Saberes	Mexico	for knowledge production, capacity-	Telecommunications") a community	Geopolitics of	
<u>Comunitarios</u>	MEXICO	building and political advocacy that promotes and reinforces community	owned and operated cellular telephone network cooperative led by members	data power, essential digital	
(CITSAC)		and indigenous communication and telecommunication processes in the	of indigenous and rural communities. TIC provides technical services for	infrastructures,	
Founded in 2019		world. CITSAC addresses a growing	individuals and communities looking to	and data flows	
		need of rural and indigenous communities to define forms of	operate autonomous telecommunications networks. They provide affordable access		
		technological appropriation, allowing	and enable cooperation within member		
		them to benefit from access, use,	communities to strengthen mobilisation		
		and appropriation of Information and	around self-determination and		
		Communication Technologies (ICT),	regulatory issues.		
		focusing on the peculiarities of			
		organizational, political, economic,			
		cultural and social characteristics			
		of each community'.			

Codhez Founded in 2014	South America Venezuela	'Codhez has promoted a network of human rights activists and organizations in Zulia, with the aim of articulating efforts and sharing experiences and knowledge, and in this way, achieve the social changes that the country demands through collective action'.	Codhez focuses their efforts on the Zulia state in northern Venezuela, noted for having the largest population in Venezuela. Their publications have recorded the myriad devastating challenges and issues faced by Venezuela as the country continues to maintain unprecedented levels of inflation amidst political unrest. Within this environment, Codhez has noted the impacts of blackouts and poverty driving a food crisis. Pertinently, they identified significant impacts on education caused by limited to no digital infrastructure that prevented distance learning coinciding with the peak months of infections caused by the COVID-19 pandemic.	Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows	Participation, Power, Equity, Access
---------------------------	-------------------------------	--	--	---	--

Coding Rights	South	'We are an organization that offers	Coding Rights is developing notmy.ai,	Identity, democratic	Identity, Power,
	America	an intersectional feminist approach to	a feminist toolkit aimed to support anti-	agency, and data	Equity,
		defend human rights in development,	colonial and feminist movements in	injustice	Knowledge,
Founded in 2015		regulation, and use of technology.	understanding and questioning algorithmic		Access
	Brazil	We act collectively and in networks.	systems deployed in the public. During the		
		We use creativity and hacker	initial phase of the project, five trending		
		knowledge to question the present	domains within which AI is being tested		
		and reimagine a future based on	and piloted across Latin American		
		trans feminist and decolonial values'.	governments were identified: education,		
			judicial system, policing, public health		
			and social benefits. Projects risking the		
			propagation of harm based on gender		
			and its intersectionality with race, class,		
			sexuality, age, and territory were mapped,		
			and impact assessments were conducted.		
			In its final phase, the toolkit will help		
			individuals account for structural		
			inequalities and injustices, power		
			imbalances, identity politics, and lack		
			of public participation when assessing		
			public sector AI.		

<u>Colnodo</u> Founded in 1993	South America Colombia	The mission of Colnodo is to 'facilitate the exchange of information for the development and improvement of the quality of life of Colombians and to transform the culture of information management, communication with the world, and generate new tools and spaces for all people'.	Colnodo developed the Escuela de Seguridad Digital (translated as "School of Digital Security"), a project seeking to support journalists, human rights defenders, and activist organisations in improving their data management practices in order to mitigate online harms. They provide educational content pertaining to digital security and privacy.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Knowledge, Power, Access, Equity, Identity
Dejustica Founded in 2005	South America Colombia	'Dejusticia is a legal and social studies center located in Bogotá, Colombia, dedicated to strengthening the rule of law and promoting human rights in Colombia and the Global South. As an action-research center, our objective is to promote social change by conducting rigorous studies and solid proposals for public policies, carrying out advocacy campaigns in high-impact forums, public interest litigation, and designing and delivering educational and training programs'.	Dejusticia has released a variety of publications investigating the intersection between inequalities and human rights, including <u>"Desigualdades Digitales"</u> (translated as "Digital Inequalities") which illustrates the current state of the socioeconomic digital divide in Colombia along with national debates pertaining to the judicial character of internet access. By arguing that internet access is essential for Colombians to expand upon their life plans and plays an active role in society, they advocate for internet access being recognised as a fundamental right required to guarantee human dignity, for which rights-holders may demand judicial protection and state accountability.	Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial Al Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows	Access, Equity, Power, Knowledge

Derechos	South	'Derechos Digitales is a Latin	Derechos Digitales manages a variety	Identity, democratic	Power, Identity,
Digitales	America	American, independent and non-	of campaigns advocating for human	agency, and data	Equity
		profit organization, founded in 2005	rights within the internet and data-driven	injustice	
		and whose main objective is the	technologies, including Inteligencia		
Founded in 2005	Chile	development, defence, and	Artificial e Inclusión en América Latina		
		promotion of human rights	(translated as "Artificial Intelligence and		
		in the digital environment'.	Inclusion in Latin America"). This project		
			uses four case studies pertaining to		
			different uses of Al-assisted decision-		
			making in Latin American countries, using		
			Al in national employment, children's		
			social care, criminal justice, and		
			healthcare as starting points to investigate		
			regional trends pertaining to data use,		
			protection and consent, transparency,		
			explainability, auditing systems, private		
			and public funding and deployment, and		
			regulatory systems enabling the right of		
			recourse. They have developed a map		
			visualising the state of AI public policy		
			across Latin American countries.		

EducaDigitale	South	'Founded in 2010, EducaDigitale is	EducaDigitale created Pilares Do Futuro	Identity, democratic	Participation,
	America	a civil society organization, a world	(translated as "Pillars of the Future"), an	agency, and data	Access,
		reference in the development of open	online platform sharing materials to	injustice	Knowledge
Founded in 2010		education actions and projects in	support educators in creating curriculum		
	Brazil	digital culture. Through its own	around digital citizenship. Their materials		
		initiatives and partnerships with other	cover topics such as digital security,		
		institutions, it acts as a Think-and-	responsible internet use in the classroom		
		Do-Tank by developing studies,	(including the excessive use of the		
		research, advocacy, training, and	internet, freedom of expression and risks		
		promotion of digital rights (access	to individual image and reputation,		
		to information, privacy and freedom	cyberbullying, racism, hate speech,		
		of expression). EducaDigitale also	and copywrite law), an internet safety		
		offers courses, workshops, and	booklet, and a primer for parents covering		
		lectures on the challenges of	how to teach internet safety to children		
		educating in contemporary society'.	in an age-appropriate way.		

Fight for the	North	'Fight for the Future is a non-profit	Fight for the Future developed the	Identity, democratic	Power,
Future	America	organization known for viral	campaign <u>#NoTechForICE</u> , which	agency, and data	Participation,
		organizing and protest of SOPA	stands against technology companies	injustice	Identity
		(Stop Online Privacy Act). The	collaborating with the US's Immigration		
Founded in 2011	US	Organization is focused on increased	and Customs Enforcement (ICE). This		
		civic engagement through the use of	campaign spreads awareness of contracts		
		the internet's transformative powers	between corporations and government		
		and people's basic rights and	where companies provide data analytics		
		freedoms. Contributions from	and digital tools to support ICE's		
		supportive entities are the primary	operations. #NoTechForICE provides		
		revenue source of the Organization.	resources for community activism		
		The Organization is dedicated	targeting specific companies and		
		to protecting and expanding the	supporting the mobilisation of tech workers		
		internet's transformative power	and students to leverage their influence		
		in people's lives by creating civic	and engage in direct action to demand		
		campaigns that are engaging for	an end to these collaborations.		
		millions of people including blocking			
		attempts to limit basic rights and			
		freedoms, and empowering people			
		to demand technology and policies			
		that serve their interests'.			

First Nations	North	'We operate at the intersection of	The First Nations Technology Council	Economic and	Power, Identity
Technology	America	Indigenous sovereignty,	has developed education programmes	distributive justice	Participation
Council		technological advancement, and a	designed to support indigenous		
		rapidly expanding technology and	communities in finding careers in		
	Canada	innovation economy. Our work is	technology and to use technology to meet		
Founded in 2002		grounded in the belief that	the digital needs of their nations. Their		
		reconciliation and innovation go	Fundamentals Programme provides an		
		hand-in-hand, and that a diversity of	introductory computer education course,		
		backgrounds, experiences and world	and their Foundations Programme		
		views participating and leading in	introduces indigenous community		
		technology fields will lead to a more	members to in-demand fields within		
		prosperous future for all Canadians'.	the tech sector such as web development		
			and coding and software testing. Finally,		
			their Futures Programme provides funding		
			for them to receive advanced level training		
			in a specialised technology-related career		
			path with external training partners.		

GobLab UAI	South	'We work with government agencies,	GobLab UAI's project titled "Market	Data colonialism,	Power
	America	civil society organizations and	Opportunities for Technology Companies:	data activism, and	
		businesses to ensure that data	Public Procurement of Accountable,	de-colonial Al	
Founded in 2017		generates public value. Our mission	Ethical and Transparent Algorithms" aims		
	Chile	is to improve people's quality of life	to help public and private companies		
		by transforming the public sector	improve the provision of social services	Geopolitics of	
		through innovation and data science'.	and the development of social impact	data power,	
			companies. It aims to do this by building	essential digital	
			capacity among technology companies	infrastructures,	
			through training programmes that help to	and data flows	
			incorporate ethical standards into		
			automated decision-making services		
			provided for the public sector and by		
			promoting the consideration of ethical		
			standards for public procurement		
			of technologies.		

<u>Hiperderecho</u>	South	'We are a Peruvian non-profit	Hiperderecho founded Después de La Ley	Identity, democratic	Power, Access,
	America	civil organization dedicated to	(translated as "After the Law"), a project	agency, and data	Identity
		investigating, facilitating public	that responds to the needs of women and	injustice	
Founded in 2012		understanding, and promoting	LGBTQ+ people who have experienced		
	Peru	respect for rights and freedoms in	online harassment, sexual harassment,		
		digital environments. Hiperderecho	blackmail, and the non-consensual		
		is a non-profit organization that	diffusion of intimate images in the internet.		
		works to ensure that technology	Después de La Ley seeks to identify and		
		can be a tool for social liberation,	support individuals in undertaking		
		that enhances your rights instead	pathways to effective remedy through the		
		of limiting or damaging them'.	Peruvian legal system, while evaluating		
			the extent to which the current pathways		
			address the needs of these individuals.		

InternetLab	South	'InternetLab is an independent	InternetLab has participated in a project	Economic and	ldentity,
	America	research center that aims to foster	titled <u>"Policy Frameworks for digital</u>	distributive justice	Participation
		academic debate around issues	<u>platforms – moving from openness to</u>	Identity, democratic	
Founded in 2012		involving law and technology,	inclusion", which explores the juridical-	agency, and data	
	Brazil	especially internet policy. Our goal is	institutional arrangements that regulate	injustice	
		to conduct interdisciplinary impactful	digital platforms, identifying emerging	inguotioo	
		research and promote dialogue	issues including market monopolisation ,		
		among academics, professionals,	challenges to development justice,		
		and policymakers. We follow an	ownership of user data, privatisation		
		entrepreneurial non-profit model,	of informational commons, and worker		
		which embraces our pursuit of	exploitation, while ideating policy that may		
		producing scholarly research in the	address these challenges considering		
		manner and spirit of an academic	inclusion and socio-economic		
		think tank. As a nexus of expertise in	development. InternetLab is conducting a		
		technology, public policy and social	case study on the regulation of on-demand		
		sciences, our research agenda	videos, investigating the impact of the		
		covers a wide range of topics,	platform economy on the Brazilian audio-		
		including privacy, freedom of speech,	visual market as pertaining to user access,		
		gender, and technology'.	available content, and financial		
			contributions to the State.		

Internet Bolivia	South America Bolivia	'We are a group of citizens committed to strengthening access to a safe, free, and democracy-enhancing internet. We defend human rights on the Internet against any action that may lead to censorship, surveillance, manipulation, extortion, among other harmful practices against users'.	Internet Bolivia provides <u>public tools</u> , <u>resources</u> , <u>and reflexive content</u> within pre-electoral processes that promote collective participation and debate, seeking to strengthen the democratic process. They monitor electoral processes to guarantee the public's ability to effectively exercise their democratic rights. Internet Bolivia also participates in internet governance forums, where they advocate for a code of best practices and policies for public organisations' use of social media and for the adoption of digital tools that support democratic participation and monitor the electoral process.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Participation, Knowledge
Institute for Technology and Society (ITS Rio) Founded in 2013	South America Brazil	'The Institute for Technology & Society of Rio studies the impact and future of technology in Brazil and worldwide. The mission of the Institute of Technology and Society (ITS) is to ensure that Brazil and the Global South respond creatively and appropriately to the opportunities provided by technology in the digital age, and that its potential benefits are widely shared by society'.	ITS Rio developed <u>"Atrapabot"</u> , a digital media literacy project aimed to combat the use of robots used to disseminate disinformation in Latin America. Project outputs include an AI system that scores social media profiles' probability of being a bot which is available for public use, as well as a series of tutorials, blog posts, and workshops aimed to support organisations and researchers in combatting disinformation.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Knowledge, Equity, Access, Participation

Intervozes Founded in 2003	South America Brazil	'Intervozes – Coletivo Brasil de Comunicação Social is a Brazilian organisation that works for the safeguarding of the freedom of expression and human rights in all forms of communication. For Intervozes, freedom of expression is inseparable from the full exercise of citizenship and democracy: a society can only be called democratic when the different voices, opinions, cultures, and races that comprise it have space to express themselves'.	Intervozes launched the social media campaign #CalarJamais (translated as "#NeverShutUp"), as a means of defending the freedom of expression. This campaign was launched alongside a <u>platform</u> where members of the public can share complaints of violations of this right within Brazil.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Power, Participation, Equity
Karisma Foundation Founded in 2003	South America Colombia	'We are a Colombian civil society organization, and we want to ensure that digital technologies protect and advance fundamental human rights and promote social justice'.	Internet es tu pasión (translated as "internet is your passion") is an initiative by Fundación Karisma which aims to address challenges to the freedom of expression posed by online content monitoring in Colombia driven by the Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA), an American law implemented in social media platforms across the world. The Internet es tu passion platform provides support to members of the public who believe they have unfairly received a copywrite infringement notice by sharing advice, examples of unjust cases, and reporting assistance to individuals.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Power, Knowledge

	0 11				
LAPIN	South	The mission of LAPIN	In 2020, LAPIN published <u>research</u>	Identity, democratic	Identity, Power
	America	(The Laboratory of Public Policy	on the state of play of facial recognition	agency, and data	
		and the Internet) is to 'ensure that	deployment in Latin America and the	injustice	
Founded in 2016		Brazil responds appropriately to the	Caribbean. This research was based		
	Brazil	challenges of a connected society	on a multidisciplinary debate focused on		
		and technological change, promoting	both the distribution of facial recognition		
		a regulatory environment that	technologies and the distribution of		
		respects privacy, freedom, and	legislative efforts to address misuse.		
		other human rights while allowing			
		economic growth. They also hope			
		to assist decision-makers in making			
		informed, qualified, and appropriate			
		judgements on digital issues…raise			
		the quality of the public debate in			
		Brazil on digital themesand provide			
		technical and scientific expertise by			
		forming partnerships with institutions			
		from the government, private sector,			
		civil society, and the academic			
		community'.			
		,			

<u>La Red en</u> <u>Defensa de los</u> <u>Derechos</u> <u>Digitales (R3D)</u> Founded in 2017	North America Mexico	'The Network in Defense of Digital Rights (R3D) is a Mexican organization dedicated to the defence of human rights in the digital environment. We use various legal and communication tools to do policy research, strategic litigation, public advocacy, and campaigns with the aim of promoting digital rights in Mexico. In particular, the freedom of expression, privacy, access to knowledge and free culture'.	R3D is involved in a <u>campaign</u> opposing the Cedula Unica de Identidad Digital, a project by the Mexican government which includes a centralised database containing the biometric data of all Mexican citizens and migrants within Mexico. Within a letter co-signed alongside a variety of other organisations, R3D argues that this project greatly threatens human rights, and they provide recommendations for alternative mechanisms for digital identity purposes.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Power
May First Technology Movement Founded in 2005	North America US and Mexico	'May First Technology Movement (MFTM) is a non-profit membership organization that engages in building movements by advancing the strategic use and collective control of technology for local struggles, global transformation, and emancipation without borders'.	Since 2017, MFTM has brought together over 1,500 activists in the US and Mexico through their "Technology and Revolution" series. This has seen participants discuss the ways in which technology can intersect with activism and with revolution.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Power, Equity, Access, Participation

National Congress of American Indians Founded in 1944	North America US	'The National Congress of American Indians (NCAI), founded in 1944, is the oldest, largest, and most representative American Indian and Alaska Native organization serving the broad interests of tribal governments and communities'.	NCAI conducts <u>research</u> and shares recommendations advocating for the disaggregation of native data. They advocate for the accurate, meaningful, and community-based collection of American Indian and Alaska Native community data and research that supports propriety community issues such as joblessness and education. They also share data resources pertaining to these communities.	Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows	Identity, Power, Equity, Participation, Access
Nupef Founded in 2009	South America Brazil	'Nupef (Center of Research, Studies, and Learning) is a private, autonomous, non-profit organisation founded in 2009, whose mission is to generate the conditions for the exercise of digital citizenship, working in the defence of democracy, access to knowledge, freedom of expression, privacy and cultural diversity, among other fundamental rights'.	Espectro (translated as "Spectrum) is a collaborative and multi-editorial web portal created by Nupef sharing information and knowledge on network practices pertaining to new radio technologies for community use and encouraging the innovative use of information and communication technologies to support sustainable development, human rights, social justice, good governance, and democratic values. Content on the Espectro platform includes the monitoring of community experiments, regulation, and discussion of effective implementation.	Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows	Knowledge, Participation

Our Data Bodies Founded in 2015	North America US (additional capacity in South Africa)	'We are a four-person team concerned about the ways our communities' digital information is collected, stored, and shared by government and corporations'.	Our Data Bodies created the <u>Digital</u> <u>Defense Playbook</u> , a resource for organisations addressing human rights through an intersectional lens. The Digital Defense playbook tool encompasses popular education activities, tools, and reflection pieces focused on data, surveillance, and community safety.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Power, Identity
Pathways to Technology Founded in 2008	North America Canada	'Pathways to Technology, a project managed by All Nations Trust Company, is an initiative to bring affordable high-speed internet to all 203 First Nations in British Columbia. The Pathways team is working to ensure First Nations people can connect with the world via high- speed internet no matter where they live'.	Pathways to Technology works with First Nations people to identify their priorities and challenges in obtaining internet connection. They contract telecommunications providers to install technical infrastructures that are custom fit for each community, providing connection to health centres, schools, homes, and businesses in the community. They provide tailored education and training services to equip local communities to leverage these new technologies towards their goals.	Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows	Identity, Access

Progressive Technology Project Founded in 1998	North America US	'The Progressive Technology Project (PTP) is a social justice movement partner with a mission to strengthen grassroots organizing groups to achieve their goals more effectively and efficiently through the strategic use of technology'.	PTP run a <u>"People of Color Techie</u> <u>Training Project"</u> where they provide mentor-based training for activists of colour to combat racism and race-based exclusion in technology.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Identity, Participation, Power, Equity, Access
Silicon Harlem Founded in 2013	North America US	'Since 2013, Silicon Harlem has been working and partnering with the private sector, academia, non-profits, and the community to build the next generation of technological infrastructure to meet the challenges that urban centres face today and will face tomorrow. Through our digital literacy programs, broadband design and deployment, and cutting-edge research projects along with scholarly conferences, educational workshops and community outreach initiatives, Silicon Harlem reaches a multi-generational audience with our technology expertise'.	Silicon Harlem's project titled "Rise: NYC" supports small businesses in New York City to adapt to and mitigate the impacts of climate change through the deployment of a connected mesh network which provides disaster-resistant wireless connection and emergency communications at no cost.	Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows	Access, Participation, Equity

Sulá Batsú	Central	'Sulá Batsú is a solidarity social	Sulá Batsú's Information and	Economic and	Identity,
	America	economy company founded in 2005	Communications Technologies program	distributive justice	Participation,
		with the aim of encouraging and	organises a variety of <u>meetings</u> including	,	Equity, Access
Founded in 2005		strengthening local development	the Café Tecnológico (translated as		1 27
	Costa Rica	through work with organizations,	"technological café"), a meeting for women		
		social enterprises, community	to connect with local digital businesses,		
		networks, and social movements	and engage in educational workshops		
		at the national, regional, and global	pertaining to technology, innovation, and		
		levels. This goal is achieved from	design. Another initiative, the Club de		
		different approaches: digital	Niñas (translated as "Girl's Club") is a		
		technologies, art and culture,	space for young women to learn about		
		collective construction and	the safe use of technology, and the Club		
		knowledge management, and the	de Programación (translated as		
		social solidarity economy'.	"Programming Club") is dedicated to		
			young women interested in learning to		
			program or who are studying to work in		
			IT and need support in their subjects.		
L					

Sursiendo Founded in 2011	North America Mexico	'We are an organization made up of a small group of restless and committed people who currently live in Chiapas, Mexico. We want to contribute to social change from the defence of digital communality, collective digital rights, and hackfeminisms. All this though equitable and creative participation, which puts a gender perspective at the center, relying on popular education and free software'.	Sursiendo has developed a tool titled "Herramienta del Registro Incidentes De Seguridad Digital", a template and accompanying guide for human rights defenders to register incidents of digital security. This tool is aimed to aid risk mitigation by enabling groups to describe, categorise, and track instances that pose a threat to personal security via digital means. The template provides a first level of analysis that may enable groups to establish risk mitigation mechanisms and	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Power, Participation, Equity, Identity
TeDic Founded in 2012	South America Paraguay	'Our vision is to establish a collaborative society, whose digital rights are exercised and respected, which freely accesses, copies, modifies, and shares its culture. Our mission is to promote the principles	respond to incidents more effectively. TeDic's project titled <u>La Violencia Digital</u> <u>es Real</u> (translated as "Digital Violence is Real") seeks to make gendered violence visible through the use of an informative web platform and a social media campaign. The web platform shares	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Power, Identity, Knowledge
		of a free culture and defend civil rights on the Internet, fostering spaces for interaction and knowledge exchange, and developing Web tools with open code and design, supported by alternative and innovative communication and advocacy work'.	information pertaining to different types of digital gendered violence, types of aggressors, and digital rights, and it also provides advice for individuals wanting to take action against digital gendered violence and those who have experienced it.		

United States Indigenous Data Sovereignty Network Founded in 2016	NorthThe mission of the United StatesAmericaIndigenous Data SovereigntyUSNetwork (USIDSN) is 'promotingIndigenous Data Sovereignty throdecolonizing data and indigenousdata governance. Indigenous DataSovereignty is the right of a nationgovern the collection, ownership,application of its own data. It derivfrom tribes' inherent right to govetheir peoples, lands, and resourceThe USIDSN helps ensure that datafor and about Indigenous nationspeoples in the US (American IndiaAlaska Natives, and NativeHawaiians) are utilized to advanceIndigenous aspirations for collectiand individual wellbeing. USIDSNprimary function is to provideresearch information and policyadvocacy to safeguard the rightsand promote the interests ofIndigenous nations and peoplesin relation to data'.	recognise Indigenous Data Sovereignty as an objective to be incorporated into tribal, federal, and other forms of data policies in order to generate resources and build support for Indigenous data governance and grow tribal data capacities including the development of data warriors (Indigenous professionals and community members who are skilled at creating, s, collecting, and managing data).	Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows Non-Western and intercultural approaches to data justice and injustice	Equity, Power, Participation, Identity
---	---	---	--	--

			Asia		
Body and Data Founded in 2017	South Asia Nepal	'Established in 2017, Body and Data works to enhance understanding and access to information on digital rights among women, queer people, and minority groups where they are able to exercise their rights in a safe and just digital space. We work towards the vision of accessible, safe, and just digital space for all, through cross movement building, facilitation for access to information, knowledge building and dissemination on digital rights in the context of Nepal'.	Body and Data has worked to produce guidelines for an inclusive and intersectional Nepali language. This working document approaches the role of data privacy through a critical feminist lens. With a goal to improve accessibility and safety in digital spaces, the organisation has also mapped out relevant legislation on gender-based online violence. They are likewise working to improve understanding of Nepal's Information Technology Bill by providing specific critiques on the implications of surveillance on the freedom of sexual minorities and marginalised communities.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Access, Identity, Knowledge

<u>Centre for</u> Internet and Society	South Asia India	'The Centre for Internet and Society (CIS) is a non-profit organisation that undertakes interdisciplinary research on internet and digital technologies from policy and academic	The Centre for Internet and Society are conducting <u>research</u> on the impact the entry of digital platforms is having on domestic and care work in India through a feminist lens. Their conclusions suggest	Economic and distributive justice Identity, democratic agency, and data	Power, Access, Equity
Founded in 2008		perspectives. The areas of focus include digital accessibility for persons with disabilities, access to knowledge, intellectual property rights, openness (including open data, free and open-source software, open standards, open access, open educational resources, and open video), internet governance, telecommunication reform, digital privacy, and cyber-security'.	these platforms do not support decent working conditions and result in intense surveillance.	injustice	
Coconet Founded in 2019	Southeast Asia Indonesia, Philippines, Thailand	'Coconet is a network and platform for digital rights movement building in the Asia-Pacific. Its online hub is Coconet.social, where the partners and members of the Coconet community produce and publish content on digital rights awareness, advocacy, and activism'.	Coconet works to provide tools and strategies to effectively use cyberspace for advocacy and activism while improving equitable access to research and content on related themes of <u>digital hygiene</u> and <u>Al</u> . Coconet publications have focused on the harms and impacts of multifarious legislation within the states of Southeast Asia. For instance, they have provided detailed research on Myanmar's draft Cyber Security Law which will empower the government to enact internet shutdowns and increase military control and oversight of the internet	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Knowledge, Participation

Digital Rights Foundation Founded in 2013	South Asia Pakistan	'The Digital Rights Foundation (DRF) envisions a place where all people, and especially women, are able to exercise their right of expression without being threatened. We believe that free internet with access to information and impeccable privacy policies can encourage such a healthy and productive environment that would eventually help not only women, but the world at large'.	DRF research has currently covered pressing challenges and themes such as access to data, mapping of gender-based violence, and political misinformation. DRF has released research into the difficulties faced by religious minorities online in Pakistan, focusing in particular on the disproportionate volume of online harms directed towards gender, ethnic, and religious minorities.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Identity, Access, Equity
Digital Empowerment Foundation Founded in 2002	South Asia India	The Digital Empowerment Foundation's (DEF) mission is 'to empower marginalised communities in information dark regions to access, consume, and produce information online using digital interventions and ICT tools'.	The Digital Empowerment Foundation run the <u>DigiKargha initiative</u> which helps to introduce ICTs to India's craft traditions. They have trained over 10,000 people and introduced nine artisan clusters to digital interventions.	Economic and distributive justice	Knowledge, Participation, Power, Access

DigitalReach	Southeast	'DigitalReach was founded in 2019	DigitalReach have conducted research	Data colonialism,	Power,
Founded in 2019	Asia Singapore	as an organization that looks into the impact of technology on human rights in Southeast Asia. The organization's mission is to safeguard digital rights and internet freedom in the region. The work of DigitalReach revolves around three core strategies which are research and monitoring, advocacy, and community building and empowerment'.	mapping contact tracing efforts in Southeast Asia, focusing on technical vulnerabilities and concerns surrounding transparency. DigitalReach conducted extensive research into the potential consequences of mass gathering of personal data in 2020. They evaluated the use of such apps according to international standards on surveillance and the right to privacy as set out in Art. 12 of the UDHR and the considerations laid out by the World Health Organisation (WHO).	data activism, and de-colonial Al Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Participation, Equity
EngageMedia Founded in 2005	Southeast Asia & Oceania (Australia) Thailand, Malaysia, Indonesia, Australia, and the Philippines	'EngageMedia is a non-profit media, technology, and culture organisation. EngageMedia uses the power of video, the Internet, and open technologies to create social and environmental change. We harness old and new media to assist movements challenging social injustice and environmental damage, as well as to present solutions'.	EngageMedia are currently running a digital rights campaign in Thailand entitled <u>#HumanOnTheLine.</u> This is an awareness raising campaign which aims to create space for Thai people to discuss problems and advocate for solutions to enhance democratic agency.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Power, Knowledge, Access

ELSAM	Southeast	'The Institute for Community Studies	ELSAM has identified the global	Identity, democratic	Power,
	Asia	and Advocacy (ELSAM) combines	challenges raised by the spread of	agency, and data	Knowledge
		the work methods of think tanks	disinformation while recognising the	injustice.	J J
Founded in 1993		and human rights advocacy in its	corresponding threats posed to election	,	
	Indonesia	operations. This character makes	integrity in the country. Despite the		
		ELSAM unique compared to similar	concerns about disinformation raised		
		human rights organizations in	by government institutions, ELSAM notes		
		Indonesia. ELSAM strives to	that disinformation research has generally		
		continue to encourage the presence	been limited.		
		of evidence-based policies to			
		strengthen the protection of human			
		rights. Currently, ELSAM has at least			
		four main activities, which consist of:			
		(1) study and production of human			
		rights knowledge to support policy			
		advocacy; (2) mainstreaming human			
		rights in policy formation; (3) legal			
		advocacy to encourage policy			
		change and defend the rights of			
		victims; and (4) the implementation			
		of various human rights education'.			

Foundation	Southeast	The Foundation for Media	FMA have <u>analysed the impact</u>	Data colonialism,	Equity, Access,
for Media	Asia	Alternatives is a non-profit registered	of digital platforms on domestic work in the	data activism, and	Participation
Alternatives		in the Philippines. 'FMA exists to	Philippines, offering recommendations for	de-colonial Al	
	Philippines	assist CSOs and other development	policies which would ensure equitable	Economic and	
	Fillippines	stakeholders (including government)	working conditions for domestic workers	distributive justice	
Founded in 1987,		in promoting and defending the right	working in platform-mediated contexts.		
shifting to work		to information and communication,			
on ICTs in 1997		through the following: advocating for			
		openness in the governance of			
		information societies; working for			
		human rights in digital environments;			
		ensuring strategic access and			
		responsible use of ICTs; optimizing			
		such technologies for sustainable			
		development; and addressing gender			
		concerns in the information society'.			

IT For Change	South Asia	'IT for Change is an NGO based in	IT for Change have submitted <u>comments</u>	Data colonialism,	Equity, Access,
		Bengaluru, India. IT for Change aims	to the UN calling for the Draft Convention	data activism, and	Power,
		for a society in which digital	on the Right to Development to explicitly	de-colonial Al	Participation
Founded in 2000	India	technologies contribute to human	incorporate considerations surrounding	Economic and	
		rights, social justice, and equity. Most	digital development. They ask the	distributive justice	
		mainstream approaches to digital	convention to allow self-determination	j	
		technologies for development are	with regard to nation's digital development		
		either techno-utopic or promote a	trajectories. They also emphasise the		
		market-fundamentalist approach,	need for structural change to allow		
		often both together. A digital	everyone to gain equitably from the		
		makeover of economies and	advancements in digital technologies.		
		societies has become a convenient			
		opportunity for wholesale			
		corporatisation of social systems,			
		displacing the cornerstone ethics of			
		democratic participation, commons,			
		social justice, and gender equality			
		from development discourse. Our			
		work in the areas of education,			
		gender, governance, community			
		informatics, and internet/digital			
		policies push the boundaries of			
		existing vocabulary and practice,			
		exploring new development and			
		social change frameworks. Network			
		building is key to our work'.			

<u>Jordan Open-</u>	West Asia	The Jordan Open-Source	JOSA has worked towards minimising the	Identity, democratic	Knowledge,
<u>Source</u>		Association (JOSA) is a non-profit	gaps in representation and information on	agency, and data	Equity
Association		organisation based in Amman,	Arab heritage and women through the	injustice.	
	Jordan	Jordan. JOSA's mission is 'to	global <u>Wikigap edit-a-thon</u> . In particular,		
		promote open-source principles for	they aim to increase the presence and		
Founded in 2011		the good of the Jordanian society.	information available on Arabic women		
		We believe that information that is	of prominence.		
		non-personal—whether it's software			
		code, hardware design blueprints,			
		data, network protocols and			
		architecture, content— should be			
		free for everyone to view, use, share,			
		and modify. Our belief also holds that			
		information that is personal should			
		be protected within legal and			
		technological frameworks. Access			
		to the modern Web should			
		likewise remain open'.			
KRYSS Network	Southeast	The KRYSS Network is 'committed to	KRYSS Network are currently running a	Identity, democratic	Identity, equity
	Asia	a world where all peoples, regardless	"Virtual Workshop Roadshow" on online	agency, and data	knowledge.
		of gender identity, sexual orientation,	gender-based violence. The workshops	injustice.	
Founded in 2002		ethnicity, religion, age, or any other	aim to deliver information related to		
	Malaysia	status, are aware of and able to fully	gender-based violence in an accessible		
		exercise their freedom of opinion and	way, while providing a safe space to		
		expression, public participation, and	discuss and ask questions without		
		bodily autonomy that is premised on	judgement. Following the 2021 edition		
		gender equality, non-discrimination,	of the workshop, KRYSS published a Zine		
		and feminist principles'.	to increase awareness on online gender-		
			based violence, associated harms,		
			and available resources for victims.		

Mnemonic Founded in 2017	West Asia Syria	'Mnemonic works globally to help human rights defenders effectively use digital documentation of human rights violations and international crimes to support advocacy, justice, and accountability'.	Mnemonic has trained over 1,000 human rights activists and journalists. They help them to use digital information technologies to advance social justice, including the use of tools for archiving evidence of human rights violations and for verifying digital information.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Knowledge, Power
Open Data China Founded in 2014	East Asia China	Open Data China is a 'social enterprise based in Shanghai, China, focusing on promoting and building up an open digital future'.	"A layman's guide to Digital Rights" was a flagship project launched in 2021. As part of the production of the guide, workshops for the general public were held that aimed to generate co-produced content. Through interactive tasks, citizens were introduced to essential knowledge about algorithms, data, legal tools, and novel paths to collective action for the protection of rights. The content of the workshops will now inform a digital guide and toolkit, aimed at groups such as journalists and students. This is expected to be released in 2022.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Knowledge

SMEX Founded in 2008	West Asia Lebanon	'SMEX is a registered NGO that works to advance self-regulating information societies in the Arab- Speaking world. Our mission is to advance digital rights in Lebanon and the Arab region through research, campaigns, and advocacy that encourages users to engage critically with digital technologies, media, and networks'.	SMEX have conducted <u>research</u> on how the Lebanese government's response to COVID-19 engaged in social control through methods such as the contact tracing application, Ma3an. SMEX investigated how this application impacted Syrian refugees, and they noted that the app also had various functional flaws.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Power, Participation
Software Freedom Law Centre (SFLC.in) Founded in 2010	South Asia India	'SFLC.in is a donor supported legal services organisation that brings together lawyers, policy analysts, technologists, and students to protect freedom in the digital world. SFLC.in promotes innovation and open access to knowledge by helping developers make great free and open-source software, protect privacy and civil liberties for citizens in the digital world by educating and providing free legal advice, and helping policymakers make informed and just decisions with the use and adoption of technology'.	SFLC.in have offered <u>digital security</u> <u>training</u> to over 1,500 participants, offering simplified explanations of law and technology and training on privacy and digital security.	Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows	Access, Knowledge

<u>Thai Netizen</u> <u>Network</u> Founded in 2008	Southeast Asia Thailand	'The Thai Netizen Network is a group of citizens coming together to support civils rights online. Its activities are based on five themes: 1) access to information, 2) freedom of opinion and expression, 3) privacy, 4) participatory internet governance, and 5) rights over information resources'.	The Thai Netizen Network has been working with Consumers and iLaw since 2017 to monitor the Personal Data Protection and the National Cybersecurity Bill. Additionally, in partnership with Privacy International, the Thai Netizen Network has also submitted a <u>report</u> <u>addressed to the Human Rights Council</u> calling attention to the threatening environment that could thwart the protection and promotion of the right to privacy in Thailand.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Power, Access, Participation
7amleh / Centerfor theAdvancementof Social Media	West Asia Palestine	7amleh is 'a non-profit organization that advocates for Palestinian digital rights. 7amleh's mission is to create a safe, fair, and free digital space for Palestinians. 7amleh studies and researches issues related to Palestinian digital rights and provides digital rights, digital activism, and digital security capacity-building opportunities to Palestinian activists and civil society, while managing local and international advocacy campaigns'.	7amleh have produced and shared guidance for journalists covering digital rights issues. The team identified patterns within published reports on digital rights violations in Palestine through 2020 and hosted a workshop to share these insights with journalists, helping them to disseminate knowledge.	Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial Al Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Access, Knowledge

			Africa		
Africa Cybersecurity and Digital Rights Organisation (ACDRO) Founded in 2019	West Africa Ghana	'Africa Cybersecurity and Digital Rights Organisation (ACDRO) is a non-governmental organization pioneering the rights to access and freedom of expression within the digital environment in Africa. ACDRO is also committed to promoting cybersecurity awareness creation, capacity-building, capacity development, and training within the African continent and beyond. ACDRO has been at the forefront of many digital rights efforts, internet freedom, and network shutdown advocacy in Africa'.	ACDRO actively promotes Child Online Safety by developing guidelines on 'how to stay safe online for young users', building capacity of both educators and children, and advocating for transparent policies on internet safety.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Participation, Knowledge

AfroLeadership Founded in 2007	West Africa Cameroon	'AfroLeadership is a PanAfrican non- governmental organisation promoting Sustainable Development as the result of a balanced power sharing between state actors and citizens. Representative democracy must be complemented by participatory democracy if peoples' aim is to prevent ill exercise of representation and to actively federate citizens' energy for good. For a decade, AfroLeadership has worked to strengthen human rights, governance, and democracy by advocating for transparency, accountability, and citizen	AfroLeadership has an ongoing partnership with Good of All, in which they teach universal rights online to a digital generation as a way to combat violence that is often a result of hate speech and disinformation. AfroLeadership is helping to disseminate content from the <u>Universal</u> <u>Rights Academy</u> .	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Participation, Access, Knowledge, Identity

amandla.mobi	South Africa South Africa	'amandla.mobi leads campaigns that build real power for Black people with a particular focus on low-income Black women. We challenge injustice by bringing together our community in critical moments to take targeted, co-ordinated, and strategic action to bring real change. Our work is to connect people so that our collective voices have maximum impact and power to hold political and corporate interests to account and advance solutions that build a more just and people powered Mzansi. Together for justice'!	amandla.mobi runs many campaigns, one of which, entitled <u>"Data Must Fall"</u> helped to increase access to mobile internet. amandla.mobi achieved this through submissions to the Independent Communications Authority of South Africa (ICASA), protests outside of the high court, amongst other actions, with the goal to reduce mobile networks discriminatory behaviour towards socio-economically marginalised groups, resulting in data prices dropping by 30% to 50%.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows	Power, Participation, Identity, Access
Centre for Intellectual Property and Information Technology Law (CIPIT) Founded in 2012	East Africa Kenya	'The Centre for Intellectual Property and Information Technology Law (CIPIT) is an evidence-based research and training centre based at Strathmore University, Nairobi, Kenya. Our Mission is to study, create, and share knowledge on the development of intellectual property and information technology, especially as they contribute to African Law and Human Rights'.	CIPIT conducted research on Kenya's Identity Ecosystem, specifically three identification systems that are critical to participation in both political and economic life. The report brings to light issues of accessibility, transparency, accountability, and inclusivity, as well as exclusionary practices that contribute to gender inequalities. A primary finding demonstrates that women and girls face unique challenges when trying to access identity systems. The report is accompanied by an <u>identification</u> <u>ecosystem map</u> .	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows Economic and distributive justice	Equity, Power, Access, Identity

Center for Advancement of Rights and Democracy Founded in 2013	East Africa Ethiopia	'CARD is an organization that follows a rights-centered approach to help the democratization process in Ethiopia. CARD is currently working on programs including youth and women empowerment, media literacy, civic engagement, and digital rights. To this end, it has targeted the youth and women in general; specifically, CARD targets media practitioners, online content producers, youth leaders, and activists'.	In July 2020, CARD released an <u>Anti-Fake</u> <u>News and Hate Speech Guideline</u> to help raise media literacy and help individuals combat the rising volume of hate speech and fake news in Ethiopia.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Power, Participation, Knowledge
CITAD Founded in 2000	West Africa Nigeria	'The Centre for Information Technology and Development (CITAD) is a non-governmental and non-profit organisation that is committed to the use of information and communication technologies (ICTs) for development and promotion of good governance. CITAD sees technology as a tool to promote sustainable development, good government, and peaceful coexistence. It uses ICT to empower youth and women through access to information, skills building, and online mentoring opportunities'.	CITAD has an ongoing project called the Anti-corruption and Accountability project which involves 25 social influencers from various sectors across Nigeria with the aim to amplify the voices of those fighting against corruption, demand accountability and transparency, and raise awareness of these issues.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows	Equity, Power, Participation

Collaboration on International ICT Policy in	East Africa Kampala,	'CIPESA's mission is to increase the capacity of East and Southern African stakeholders to participate in ICT policymaking. Since inception,	CIPESA has partnered with the Internet Society on a <u>project to advance digital</u> <u>rights</u> and 'work together for an open, secure, and trustworthy internet for Africa.'	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Power, Knowledge, Participation, Access
East and Southern Africa (CIPESA) Founded in 2004	Kampala, Uganda	in ICT policymaking. Since inception, CIPESA has positioned itself as a leading centre for research and analysis of information aimed to enable policymakers in the region to understand ICT policy issues, and for various multi-stakeholders to use ICT to improve livelihoods. We produce and publish commentaries, briefing papers, and newsletters that are widely circulated'.	secure, and trustworthy internet for Africa.' The project will consist of sharing knowledge and pooling expertise surrounding issues with Internet policy, as well as stakeholder engagements throughout the region.	Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows	Access

Common Cause Zambia Founded in 2013	South Africa Zambia	'Common Cause Zambia (CCZ) is a non-profit, nongovernmental organization whose core mandate is to contribute to good governance in Zambia through sustained community engagements and participation in governance practices and systems. The organization is registered under the Laws of Zambia, with the objective of empowering citizens to participate and contribute to governance and development through initiatives that enhance their capacities to claim their rights and foster accountability for improved service delivery and poverty reduction. Common Cause Zambia was established by dedicated Zambian Civil Activists with experience in the dynamics of democratic governance in Zambia.	In August 2021, CCZ produced an open letter as part of the #KeepItOn Coalition to the President of the Republic of Zambia, urging him to keep the internet open and secure during the upcoming elections and thereafter.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Power, Access, Participation
---	------------------------	---	---	---	---------------------------------

Data Science Nigeria Founded in 2017	West Africa Nigeria	The mission of Data Science Nigeria is to 'train, mentor and inspire 100,000 Nigerian undergraduates and graduates to build new skills in Advanced Analytics/Data Science and create 1 million jobs/opportunities in data science/advanced analytics'.	Data Science Nigeria completed an <u>'Al Invasion'</u> in 30 cities across Nigeria with the goal to introduce thousands of Nigerians to machine learning and democratise Al knowledge. The engagements consisted of a free class and free Al knowledge boxes with over 10,000 Al videos.	Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows Economic and distributive justice	Access, Knowledge
Digital Rights Lawyers Initiative Founded in 2019	West Africa Lagos, Nigeria	'Our organization serves as a platform for professional engagement and a national network for Digital Rights Lawyers. We promote and encourage the participation of our members in digital rights litigation and serve as an avenue to facilitate the defence and enforcement of digital rights'.	The Digital Rights Lawyer Initiative (DRLI) has <u>filed many cases</u> related to digital rights. A few examples of recent filings include issues of freedom of expression, the right to privacy, and data protection. DRLI filed a suit against the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) in 2020 which challenged the CBN's directive to banks about sharing their customer data with Fintechs.	Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows Economic and distributive justice Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Equity, Power

DIG/SEC Initiative Founded in 2019	East Africa Uganda	'We strive to enhance the capacity of at-risk grassroots human rights defenders to overcome digital security and safety challenges through engaging in activities like digital security mentorship, providing tech support, and timely response to digital security emergencies faced by the human rights community'.	In partnership with <u>Defend Defenders</u> , in September 2020, DIG/SEC Initiative travelled across Uganda on a 21-day trip and delivered both 'digital security and tech support to grassroots organisations and activists'.	Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows Economic and distributive justice Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Access, Knowledge
eLearning Africa Founded in 2005	Africa	'eLearning Africa is a global network of professionals working in the field of ICT supported education and training. It includes educators, trainers, technology specialists, policymakers, and investors'.	eLearning Africa published <u>research</u> exploring the impact Covid-19 has had on education across Africa. They have explored the implications of this for technology use.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Knowledge, Access, Power

<u>Haki na Sheria</u> Founded in 2010	East Africa Kenya	'Haki na Sheria Initiative (HSI) is a non-governmental organisation based in Garissa, Kenya, and it is dedicated to ending the discrimination and promoting the rights of marginalized communities in Northern Kenya'.	Paralegals at Haki na Sheria help individuals obtain their birth certificates which is a necessary document for attending school, obtaining other identity documents, and opening a bank account. The existing process usually consists of multiple forms and processes as well as a payment of 150 Kenyan shillings.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice Economic and distributive justice	Power, Participation, Identity, Access
HSRC Ghana Founded in 2015	West Africa Ghana	The mission of HSRC Ghana is 'to conduct research that informs National Security policy development and implementation, to advocate for the development and implementation of preventative programmes and projects that address the vulnerabilities that could lead to Violent Extremism in deprived local communities, and to initiate and implement programmes and projects that pursue decentralization to improve lives and create hope and resilience in identified vulnerable local communities'.	HSRC is developing a programme for <u>AI Skill Development in rural Ghana</u> . The intention is to create a decentralised programme run through AI training centres which target vulnerable local communities.	Economic and distributive justice	Knowledge, Participation

<u>iFreedom</u> <u>Uganda</u> Founded in 2012	East Africa Uganda	'iFreedom Uganda is a Digital Rights Network specializing in Human Rights and the Internet. iFreedom Uganda promotes and supports the freedom of speech, expression, association, and assembly through technical IT support, research, and development of tools and applications that enhance digital security and safety'.	iFreedom Uganda provides computer literacy training to organisations of the network, especially sex workers. Initial computer courses are offered followed by digital security trainings.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice Economic and distributive justice	Access, Knowledge, Equity, Identity
Kenya ICT Action Network Founded in 2003	East Africa Kenya	'At KICTANet we strive to ensure that policy making in the ICT sector adopts an open, accessible, human rights-based approach that is characterized by multi-stakeholder participation. In order for policy and law making to be inclusive, the processes must be open and accessible to all stakeholders, include a diverse representation of stakeholders, be collaborative, consensus driven, evidence-based and lastly transparent and accountable. This is buttressed by Article 10 of the Constitution which requires public participation in policy and decision-making processes'.	In July 2021, KICTANet published a policy brief entitled <u>"Public Participation: An</u> Assessment of Recent ICT Policy Making Processes in Kenya." The report calls attention to the varied levels of public participation present in three recent ICT policy and law-making processes: the National ICT Policy, 2019, the Computer Misuse and Cybercrimes Act, 2018, and the Data Protection Act, 2019.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Access, Participation

Lawyers Hub Founded in 2016	East Africa Kenya	'The Lawyers Hub is a Legal-Tech organisation headquartered in Kenya serving the global south on Digital Policy and Justice Innovation by providing innovative and technology-driven solutions to policy and legal practices'.	The Lawyers Hub runs the <u>Africa Digital</u> <u>Policy Institute</u> which provides training on digital rights, governance, and the future of technology policy and the digital economy.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Power, Participation, Knowledge
Motoon Founded in 2013	North Africa Egypt	'Motoon is a venture that strives to connect techies to progressive causes and local communities in need of their skills'.	Motoon's initiative, <u>Noon Tech</u> , was established to advocate for women empowerment as well as bridge the gender gap in tech fields. Obstacles for women entering the tech field are discussed and solutions are worked towards, while showing stories of successful women in tech.	Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows	Access, Knowledge, Participation, Power, Equity

Paradigm Initiative Founded in 2007	West Africa, Central Africa, East Africa Regional Offices: Nigeria, Cameroon, Kenya, Senegal, Zambia, Zimbabwe	'Paradigm Initiative works to connect underserved young Africans with digital opportunities and ensures protection of their rights. Across our regional offices in Cameroon, Kenya, Nigeria, Senegal, Zambia, Zimbabwe and beyond, we work to connect under-served African youth with improved livelihoods through our digital inclusion and digital rights programs. Our programs include the Life Skills, ICT, financial readiness, entrepreneurship (LIFE) training program, Dufuna, and a digital rights program'.	The Paradigm Initiative produces an annual report entitled <u>"Londa"</u> , which is 'the title of Zulu origin calling for action to protect or defend'. <i>"Londa"</i> documents the state of digital rights as well as exclusionary practices and violations and milestones. The report engages with stakeholders in reported countries, and the current 2021 report contains reports from 20 countries and includes the impacts the COVID-19 pandemic has had on access and the freedom of expression.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows	Access, Knowledge, Participation, Power, Equity
Pollicy Founded in 2016	East Africa Uganda	'Pollicy is a feminist collective of technologists, data scientists, creatives, and academics working at the intersection of data, design, and technology to craft better life experiences by harnessing improved data'.	Pollicy have previously conducted a project titled <u>"Automated Imperialism,</u> <u>Expansionist Dreams".</u> This explores the methods through which digital extractivism takes place in Africa and documents existing responses.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Power, Participation, Equity

PNDP (Programme National de Développement Participatif) Founded in 2004	West Africa Cameroon	'PNDP is a program funded since 2004 by Government of Cameroon and various donors to design, promote, and structure local participatory development in Cameroon. PNDP has been at the forefront of decentralization, local governance, local development, and participatory approaches in Cameroon'.	In preparation for the Government of Cameroon's Digital Transformation Acceleration Program (PATNuC), the PNDP carried out several environmental and social safeguard studies with stakeholders. Instruments such as the Manual of Work Management Procedures and the Indigenous Peoples Policy Framework detail the potential risks to the workforce and ensure that indigenous communities are informed of PATNuC, consulted, and informed of possible impacts before launch, respectively.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice Economic and distributive justice Non-Western and intercultural approaches to data justice and injustice	Power, Equity, Access, Participation
Protégé QV Founded in 1995	West Africa Cameroon	'Protégé QV aims to promote individual and collective initiatives to promote rural development, protect the environment, and improve the well-being of communities. Protégé QV believes in the power of ICT to provide equal access to information as a strategy to fight against poverty'.	Protégé QV's project <u>"Information and</u> <u>Communication Technologies for</u> <u>Development"</u> , includes many different initiatives such as 'distance training for women in the creation of micro-enterprises through radio programs with the assistance of Commonwealth of learning', workshops, trainings, and the organisation of 'Quality of Life Evenings (Impact Talks) to raise awareness on the African Deceleration of Internet Rights and Freedoms (2019)'.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows Economic and distributive justice Adjacent justice literatures and social mobilization	Access, Equity, Identity, Power

Right2Know Founded in 2010	South Africa South Africa	'The Right2Know Campaign launched in August 2010 and has grown into a movement centred on freedom of expression and access to information. We are a democratic, activist-driven campaign that strengthens and unites citizens to raise public awareness, mobilise communities, and undertake research and targeted advocacy that aims to ensure the free flow of information necessary to meet people's social, economic, political, and ecological needs and live free from want, in equality and in dignity'.	On Universal Access to Information Day in September 2021, Right2Know hosted a <u>panel event</u> to discuss the topic of voters having the right to know where political parties get their money from. The aim of the panel was to 'increase public awareness about people's right to access government information while promoting freedom of information'. This panel event came after the Political Party Funding Act was passed, but many political parties did not declare their sources of funding before the election, as outlined in the law.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial Al Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows	Identity, Power, Knowledge
Women in Machine Learning and Data Science, Lagos Founded in 2019	West Africa Nigeria	Our mission is 'to support and promote women and gender minorities who are practicing, studying, or are interested in the fields of machine learning and data science'.	WiMLDS hosts workshops and hackathons to support and promote women studying or practicing in the fields of data science and machine learning. <u>Open-Source Sprints</u> are hosted by the organisation in hopes to increase contributions by women to open-source code as in 2013, only 11% of open- source contributors were found to be women.	Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial Al Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Power, Access, Participation, Equity

Women of Uganda Network Founded in 2000	East Africa Uganda	'WOUGNET's mission is to promote and support the use of ICTs by women and women organizations in Uganda in order to effectively address national and local problems for sustainable development'.	WOUGNET has an initiative entitled 'Increasing women's decision-making and influence in Internet Governance and ICT policy for the realization of women's rights in Africa'. The initiative focuses on increasing both women's decision-making power and influence surrounding ICT policies. Activities included conversations with stakeholders using the Feminist Principles on the Internet and the National Awareness Raising workshop on women's rights and technology.	Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Power, Access, Participation, Equity
W.TEC Founded in 2008	West Africa Nigeria	'W.TEC is a Nigerian non- governmental organization working for the economic and social empowerment of girls and women, using information and communication technologies (ICTs). We have chosen to focus on this area because statistical evidence has shown that in most African countries, women's use and knowledge of ICTs (to store, share, organise, and process information) is lower than men's, denying them of income-generating opportunities and the chance to network with others'.	W.TEC's initiative, Inclusive Technology for All (IT4ALL) focuses on delivering ICT inclusion for children with developmental disabilities. The program is in partnership with the Children's Developmental Centre in Lagos, and the program is driving inclusionary practices, as Nigeria does not have 'any reasonable data to address these issues'.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Equity, Access, Participation

<u>Unwanted</u> <u>Witness</u>	East Africa	'The Unwanted Witness is a civil society organization (CSO) that was established to respond to the gap	Unwanted Witness has done significant amounts of work related to freedom of expression. In February 2021, Unwanted	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Power, Access, Participation, Equity, Identity
Founded in 2012	Kampala, Uganda	in effective communication using various online expression platforms. Unwanted Witness was established in 2012 by a group of netizens, bloggers, activists, writers, and human rights defenders as an independent, non-partisan and not- for-profit civil society organization. It seeks to create secure uncensored online platforms for activists, netizens, bloggers, freelance journalists, and writers to promote human rights through writing, informing, and educating the citizenry who also utilise the platform for strengthening free expression and demand for accountability. Our mission is to contribute to good governance through effective and efficient internet/online activism through networking and strengthening capacities of netizen for collective advocacy and synergy'.	Witness filed a <u>suit</u> against the Attorney General, Uganda Communications Commission, Mobile Telephone Network Uganda, Airtel Uganda, and Africell Uganda for their 'unjustified shutdown and restrictions of access to internet and social media services to the public' that occurred from the 9 th to the 18 th of January 2021.	Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows	

	Oceania							
ANTAR Founded in 1997	Australia New South Wales	'ANTaR is a national advocacy organisation dedicated specifically to the rights—and overcoming the disadvantage—of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people. We do this primarily through lobbying, public campaigns and advocacy'.	ANTaR has been working diligently on establishing a Voice for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples within Parliament at the federal, state, and territory levels. In March 2021, ANTaR submitted a report entitled <u>"Indigenous</u> <u>Voice to Parliament"</u> . The report calls for the establishment of a Voice that has agency, authority, respect, and is not subject to political nor financial undermining like past efforts to represent Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in Parliament have. It also states that narratives and data about Australia's history should include First Nations Peoples' knowledge. Furthermore, ANTaR has encouraged the use of digital tools for advocacy campaigns that call for a more impactful reconciliation movement.	Non-Western and intercultural approaches to data justice and injustice Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows	Power, Equity, Identity			

Centre for	Australia	'The Centre for Aboriginal Economic	The Centre for Aboriginal Economic Policy	Identity, democratic	Power, Identity,
	Australia	Policy Research (CAEPR) is	Research has conducted participatory	agency, and data	Participation
<u>Aboriginal</u>		Australia's foremost social science			Fariicipation
<u>Economic</u>	Canberra		research, partnering with indigenous	injustice	
Policy Research		research body focusing on	organisations and training community-		
		Indigenous economic and social	based researchers to explore the		
		policy from a national perspective.	experiences of technology-facilitated		
Founded in 1990		CAEPR aims to undertake social	abuse (TFA) among Aboriginal and Torres		
		science research on Indigenous	Strait Islander women in remote and		
		policy and development which is	regional areas. They identified different		
		excellent by the best international	forms of abuse, the benefits of technology		
		and disciplinary standards and that	as pertaining to aboriginal women's safety,		
		informs intellectual understanding,	as well as their risks. The results of this		
		public debate, policy formation, and	research can inform responses and		
		community action. Previous projects	prevention of TFA by addressing factors		
		have explored the intersection of	such as the need for education around		
		technology and indigenous rights'.	TFA and online safety, for culturally		
			competent support services for women		
			experiencing abuse, for social media		
			companies to playing a role in preventing		
			abuse, and for clear and consistent		
			legislation about this topic.		

Coalition of Peaks Founded in 2019	Australia Member orgs across Australia	'The Coalition of Peaks came together to change the way Australian governments work with our people. As community-controlled organisations, we work for and are accountable to our communities, not governments. We share a belief that Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people should have a meaningful say on policies and programs that impact on us through formal partnerships with Australian governments at all levels'.	The Coalition of Peaks has developed a set of priority reforms in partnership with the Australian government. The Priority Reforms include items such as shared decision-making, building the community- controlled sector, ensuring institutions are responsive to the needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and establishing access to data and the ability to use it.	Non-Western and intercultural approaches to data justice and injustice Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows	Power, Equity, Participation, Access
Digital Natives Academy Founded in 2014	Aotearoa, New Zealand	'Digital Native Academy's (DNA) mission is to create career pathways for whānau wanting to be part of digital tech industries. We do this to inspire them to become makers and innovators of technology, rather than users and consumers of it'.	DNA provides a series of courses to 'inspire the next generation of digital leaders' and show them career pathways to become leaders and makers rather than consumers. DNA strives to create a sense of belonging and is rooted in a Te Ao Māori worldview. Courses include principles of animation, game design, digital wellbeing, amongst others.	Non-Western and intercultural approaches to data justice and injustice Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows	Access, Identity

Jumbunna Institute for Indigenous Education and Research No Founding Date on Website	Australia Sydney (part of University of Technology)	'The Jumbunna Institute for Indigenous Education and Research aims to produce the highest quality research on Indigenous legal and policy issues and to develop highly skilled Indigenous researchers'.	The Jumbunna Institute for Indigenous Education and Research has been engaged in research surrounding digital land rights and reconnecting Indigenous communities. In their recent paper presented at the 2019 ACM CHI conference, a national HCI project to design technology that allows Aboriginal Australian communities to connect with their heritage and identity is detailed.	Non-Western and intercultural approaches to data justice and injustice Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Access, Identity, Power
Lowitja Institute	Australia Victoria	'The Lowitja Institute is Australia's national institute for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health research, named in honour of our Patron, Dr Lowitja O'Donoghue AC CBE DSG. We are an Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander organisation working for the health and wellbeing of Australia's First Peoples through high impact quality research, knowledge translation, and by supporting Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health researchers'.	In 2021, the Lowitja Institute released a discussion <u>paper</u> for the Partnership for Justice in Health (P4JH). The paper discusses topics of race and racism in the health system specifically related to the 'Australian Government's National Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Plan's (NATSIHP) vision of 'a health system free of racism' (2013). Events such as coronial inquests into preventable deaths of Indigenous peoples and existing health inequalities motivate this discussion.	Non-Western and intercultural approaches to data justice and injustice Adjacent justice literatures and social mobilization Economic and distributive justice	Access, Identity, Equity, Knowledge

Maiam nayri	Australia	'The Maiam nayri Wingara Aboriginal	The Maiam nayri Wingara Data	Data colonialism,	Access, Equity,
Wingara	and	and Torres Strait Islander Data	Sovereignty Collective and Australian	data activism, and	Power,
Aboriginal and	Aotearoa,	Sovereignty Collective was formed	Indigenous Governance Institute created	de-colonial Al	Participation
Torres Strait	New	in early 2017 in order to develop	a <u>Communique</u> as a result of the 2018	Geopolitics of	
Islander Data	Zealand	Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander	Indigenous Data Sovereignty Summit.	data power,	
Sovereignty		data sovereignty principles and to	The Communique aims to advance	essential digital	
Collective	Australia,	identify Aboriginal and Torres Strait	Indigenous Data Sovereignty through	infrastructures,	
	Tasmania,	Islander strategic data assets. The	the initiation of Indigenous Data	and data flows	
	etc.	intent of Maiam nayri Wingara is to empower Aboriginal and Torres Strait	governance protocols. The Communique claims that Indigenous communities	Identity, democratic	
Founded in 2017		Islanders to engage in Indigenous	maintain the right to not participate	agency, and data	
		Data Sovereignty and to advocate for	in data processes inconsistent with the	injustice	
		rights (informed by UNDRIP) using	principles asserted in this Communique'.	Non-Western	
		data to inform development'.		and intercultural	
		· ·		approaches to data	
				justice and injustice	
				,	

Pacific Islands	Melanesia	'For over 30 years, PIANGO	PIANGO provides support to NGOs
Association		has served the Pacific through	in the Pacific. It offers <u>capacity-building</u>
of Non-		strengthening and building the	programs, as well as access to local
governmental	Fiji	capacity of NGOs and the civil	expertise in technical capacity-building.
Organisations		society sector through giving the	In addition to these resources, PIANGO
		sector a voice in policy formulation	places a large emphasis on promoting
(PIANGO)		and strengthening its member	self-determination and decolonising Pacific
		umbrella organisations in the 25	territories, and 'increasing awareness,
Founded in 1991		Pacific countries and territories.	knowledge and cooperation on self-
Founded in 1991		As a regional network of NGO	determination and decolonisation.'
		coordinating bodies known as	
		National Liaison Units (NLUs) based	
		in 25 Pacific Island countries and	
		territories, PIANGO was formally	
		established in 1991 to assist NGOs	
		in the Pacific to initiate action,	
		give voice to their concerns, and	
		work collaboratively with other	
		development actors for just and	
		sustainable human development.	
		PIANGO's primary role is to be a	
		catalyst for collective action, to	
		facilitate and support coalitions	
		and alliances on issues of common	
		concern, and to strengthen the	
		influence and impact of NGO	
		efforts in the region'.	

Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial Al Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice Non-Western and intercultural approaches to data justice and injustice Economic and distributive justice

Access, Identity, Equity, Power, Participation, Knowledge

Pacific Community Founded in 1947	Melanesia/ Micronesia Nouméa, New Caledonia	'At the Pacific Community (SPC), we are recognised for our scientific and technical expertise, and for how we apply our expertise in responding to the specific development needs of our members. We have a strong comparative advantage in being able to bring a multi-disciplinary approach to addressing some of the region's most complex development challenges, including climate change, disasters, non-communicable diseases, gender equality, youth employment, food and water security, and biosecurity for trade'.	The Pacific Community recently launched an effort to <u>collect data related to food</u> <u>systems</u> to better understand better policies for sustainable and resilient food systems, relating both to data and food justice. There has been a decline in food production of staple crops, and researchers wish to better understand this along with finding ways to mitigate the spread of dietary related non- communicable diseases.	Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows Adjacent justice literatures and social mobilization	Power, Access, Knowledge
Pacific Islanders Tele- communications Association Founded in 1996	Melanesia Fiji	'The Pacific Islands Telecommunications Association (PITA) is a non-profit organisation formed to represent the interests of small island nations in the Pacific Region in the field of telecommunications'.	PITA organises events around the subject of policy development. These meetings are geared towards PITA members, partners, regulatory bodies, government agencies, and academia and designed to allow for conversation and the exchange of ideas on topics such as the issues and needs of small islands, regulation, competition in market evolutions, and initiatives requiring policy and regulatory attentions.	Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows	Identity, Access, Power, Participation

Te Mana Raraunga Founded in 2016	Australia and Aotearoa, New Zealand Zealand	'The purpose of Te Mana Raraunga is to enable Māori Data Sovereignty and to advance Māori aspirations for collective and individual wellbeing by: asserting Māori rights and interests in relation to data; Ensuring data for and about Māori can be safeguarded and protected; Requiring the quality and integrity of Maori data and its collection; Advocating for Māori involvement in the governance of data repositories; Supporting the development of Māori data infrastructure and security systems; Supporting the development of sustainable Māori digital businesses and innovations'.	The Te Mana Raraunga – Māori Data Sovereignty Network Charter is a critical document for advancing Indigenous Data Sovereignty. The Charter outlines Te Mana Raraunga's purpose which include items like asserting Māori rights and interests in relation to data and advocating for Māori involvement in the governance of data repositories. The Charter also outlines guiding principles and membership.	Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows Non-Western and intercultural approaches to data justice and injustice	Access, Identity, Equity, Power, Participation, Knowledge
--	--	---	---	--	---

Europe							
StrawberryNet Foundation	Romania	'The mission of the StrawberryNet Foundation is to improve access to information, communication capacity, and increase the level of public participation in environmental and civic organizations in Romania by developing information communities, providing and developing means and methods of electronic communication to increase efficiency and the impact of organizations promoting the same	The StrawberryNet Foundation works with other members of the Association of Progressive Communications on the <u>GreeningIT initiative</u> which looks into how policymakers and practitioners can make ICTs work more sustainably. The StrawberryNet Foundation supported other NGOs working on climate change by designing and hosting their websites and providing management services.	Adjacent justice literatures and social mobilization	Access, Knowledge, Participation		
		of organizations promoting the same values we believe in'.					

Metamorphosis Foundation Founded in 2010	North Macedonia	'The Metamorphosis Foundation envisions a society in which engaged and aware citizens actively use innovative tools to exercise their civil rights and responsibilities, a society in which they are cautious about influencing and demanding accountability from the authorities while ensuring democratic, accountable and prepared governance'.	The Metamorphosis Foundation have published <u>research</u> analysing misinformation relating to COVID-19 in North Macedonia. In April 2020, and together with other organisations from the Balkan region, they launched a support network called the <u>Anti-Disinformation</u> <u>Network for the Balkans</u> . They conducted a study titled "Analysis of Covid- 19 related disinformation in North Macedonia", which not only provides a summary of the trends in online disinformation, but also identifies the elements that contributed to users being susceptible to manipulation and conspiracy theories.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice Data colonialism, data activism, and de-colonial AI Geopolitics of data power, essential digital infrastructures, and data flows	Knowledge, Access
luRe Founded in 2011	Czech Republic	'luridicum Remedium, zs (luRe) is a non-governmental non-profit organization promoting fundamental human freedoms in digital and real life and access to justice and justice for all. Through the law, it promotes digital freedoms (the right to privacy, copyright alternatives, and the prohibition of digital exclusion), guards the biggest players and helps provide free legal aid to those who need it'.	In 2020, the <u>Big Brother Film Festival</u> was launched, with the support of Kinolab, where multimedia productions on digital rights, identity, surveillance, the role of tech-based entities, and the importance of analogue communication were showcased. Discussion on impending challenges in the domain followed the viewings. The festival also campaigned for signatures on the "Reclaim Your Face" petition against mass surveillance.	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Knowledge, Participation, Power

<u>SHARE</u> Foundation	Serbia	'As a non-profit organization, the SHARE Foundation was established in 2012 to advance human rights	SHARE foundation has published research on digital rights violations in Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia,	Identity, democratic agency, and data injustice	Power, Participation, Equity
Founded in 2012		and freedoms online and promote positive values of an open and decentralised Web, as well as free	North Macedonia, Hungary, Romania, and Serbia during 2019 and 2020, during periods where each of these nations held		
		access to information, knowledge, and technology. SHARE Foundation's primary areas of	elections. Their reports map these violations and advocates for joining forces to tackle these violations. SHARE has also		
		activities are freedom of speech online, data privacy, digital security, and open access to knowledge	produced a <u>timeline overview</u> of digital rights violations which serves as a very useful resource.		
		and information'.			

Bibliography

Aaronson, S. A., & Leblond, P. (2018). Another digital divide: The rise of data realms and its implications for the WTO. *Journal of International Economic Law, 21*(2), 245-272. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jiel/jgy019</u>

Abdalla, M., & Abdalla, M. (2020). The Grey Hoodie Project: Big tobacco, big tech, and the threat on academic integrity. *ArXiv*. <u>https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2009.13676</u>

Abraham, D. S. (2015). The elements of power: Gadgets, guns, and the struggle for a sustainable future in the rare metal age. Yale University Press. https://doi.org/10.12987/9780300216714

- Acorn, A. E. (2004). Compulsory compassion: A critique of restorative justice. UBC Press.
- Ackerman, B. (1997). Temporal horizons of justice. *The Journal of Philosophy*, *94*(6), 299-317. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/2564543</u>
- Ada Lovelace Institute. (2021). *Exploring legal mechanisms for data stewardship*. <u>https://www.adalovelaceinstitute.org/wp-</u> <u>content/uploads/2021/03/Legal-mechanisms-for-data-</u> <u>stewardship report Ada Al-Council-2.pdf</u>
- Adger, W. N., Barnett, J., Chapin, F. & Ellemor, H. (2011). This must be the place: Underrepresentation of identity and meaning in climate change decision-making. *Environmental Politics*, vol. *11*(2), 1-25. <u>https://doi.org/10.1162/GLEP_a_00051</u>
- Adler-Bell, S. & Miller, M. (2018). *The Datafication of Employment*. <u>https://tcf.org/content/report/datafication-</u> <u>employment-surveillance-capitalism-shaping-workers-</u> <u>futures-without-knowledge/</u>

Afolayan, A., & Falola, T. (Eds.). (2017). *The Palgrave handbook of African philosophy*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Aggarwal, N. (2020). Introduction to the special issue on intercultural digital ethics. *Philosophy & Technology*, 33(4), 547-550. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-020-00428-1</u>

Agger, B. (2012). Oversharing: Presentations of self in the internet age. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203125090

Agrawal, A.K., Gans, J. & Goldfarb, A. (2019) Economic policy for artificial intelligence. *Innovation Policy and the Economy 19*(1), 139-159. <u>https://doi.org/10.1086/699935</u>

Ahmed, N., & Wahed, M. (2020). The de-democratization of AI: Deep learning and the compute divide in artificial intelligence research. *ArXiv*. <u>https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2010.15581</u>

Ahmed, S. (2012). On being included. Duke University Press.

Ahmed, S. (2018, June 28). Refusal, resignation, and complaint. *Feministkilljoys*. <u>https://feministkilljoys.com/2018/06/28/refusal-resignationand-complaint/</u> Aitken, M., Christman, B., Bonaventura, M., Horst, D. V. D., & Holbrook, J. (2016). Climate justice begins at home: Conceptual, pragmatic and transformative approaches to climate justice in Scotland. *Scottish Affairs*, *25*(2), 225-252. <u>http://www.euppublishing.com/doi/abs/10.3366/</u> <u>scot.2016.0128</u>

Aitken, M., Cunningham-Burley, S., & Pagliari, C. (2016b). Moving from trust to trustworthiness: Experiences of public engagement in the Scottish Health Informatics Programme. *Science and Public Policy*, *43*(5), 713-723. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/scipol/scv075

Akbari, A. (2019). Spatial|Data Justice: Mapping and digitised strolling against moral police in Iran. *Development Informatics Working Paper*, University of Manchester.

Alarcón, N. (1983). Chicana's feminist literature: A re-vision through Malintzin/or Malintzin: Putting flesh back on the object. In *This bridge called my back: Writings by radical women of color*, 2. (pp. 182-190). Kitchen Table Women of Color Press.

Alarcón, N. (1991). The theoretical subject (s) of this bridge called my back and Anglo-American feminism. *Criticism in the borderlands: Studies in Chicano literature, culture, and ideology*, 28-39. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511570940.010</u>

- Al Dahdah, M., & Quet, M. (2020). Between tech and trade, the digital turn in development policies. *Development*, 63(2-4), 219–225. <u>https://doi.org/10.1057/s41301-020-00272-y</u>
- Alexander, M., & West, C. (2012). *The new Jim Crow: Mass incarceration in the age of colorblindness* (Revised edition). New Press.
- Algorithmic Justice League (n.d.) *Unmasking AI harms* and biases. Retrieved 22 September 2021, from <u>https://www.ajl.org/</u>
- Ali, S. M. (2014). Towards a Decolonial Computing. *Ambiguous Technologies: Philosophical Issues, Practical Solutions, Human Nature* (CEPE 2013), 28–35. <u>http://oro.open.ac.uk/41372/</u>
- Ali, S. M. (2017). Decolonizing information narratives: Entangled apocalyptics, algorithmic racism and the myths of history. In *Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute Proceedings* (Vol. 1, No. 3, p. 50). https://doi.org/10.3390/IS4SI-2017-03910

Ames, R. T. (2010). Achieving personal identity in Confucian role ethics: Tang Junyi on human nature as conduct. *Oriens Extremus, 49,* 143-166. <u>https://doi.org/10.18399/acta.2017.20.1.001</u>

Amodei, D., & Hernandez, D. (2018, May 16). Al and compute. *OpenAl.* <u>https://openai.com/blog/ai-and-compute/</u>

Amrute, S. (2019). Of techno-ethics and technoaffects. *Feminist Review*, *123*(1), 56-73. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0141778919879744</u>

Andrejevic, M. (2007). *iSpy: Surveillance and power in the interactive era* (p. 182). Lawrence: University Press of Kansas.

Andrejevic, M. (2014). Big data, big questions| The big data divide. International Journal Of Communication, 8, 17. <u>https://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/2161</u>



Anzaldúa, G. (1999). Borderlands/La Frontera The New Mestiza. Aunt Lute Books.

Anzaldúa, G. (1990). *Making faces, making soul/haciendo caras: Creative and critical perspectives of feminists of color.* Aunt Lute Books.

- Apel, K. O. (1984). Understanding and explanation: A transcendental-pragmatic perspective. MIT Press.
- Apel, K. O. (1998). *Towards a transformation of philosophy*. Marquette University Press.
- Apel, K. O. (1999). From a transcendental-semiotic point of view. Manchester University Press; St. Martin's Press.
- Appadurai, A. (1996). *Modernity at large: Cultural dimensions* of globalization. Vol. 1. U of Minnesota Press.
- Aratani, L. (2018). Secret use of census info helped send Japanese Americans to internment camps in WWII. *The Washington Post.* <u>https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/retropolis/wp/2018/</u>04/03/secret-use-of-census-info-helped-send-japaneseamericans-to-internment-camps-in-wwii/</u>
- Arora, P. (2016). Bottom of the data pyramid: Big data and the Global South. *International Journal of Communication, 10*, 19. <u>https://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/4297</u>
- Arora, P. (2019). Decolonizing privacy studies. *Television* & *New Media*, 20(4), 366-378. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1527476418806092</u>
- Arrieta-Ibarra, I., Goff, L., Jiménez-Hernández, D., Lanier, J., & Weyl, E. G. (2018). Should we treat data as labor? Moving beyond 'free'. *American Economic Association Papers & Proceedings*, 108, 38-42. <u>https://doi.org/10.1257/pandp.20181003</u>
- Ash, J., Kitchin, R., & Leszczynski, A. (2018). Digital turn, digital geographies? *Progress in Human Geography.* 42(1), 25-43. <u>https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/</u> 0309132516664800

Atanasoski, N., & Vora, K. (2019). *Surrogate humanity: Race, robots, and the politics of technological futures.* Duke University Press.

Bachrach, P., & Baratz, M. (1962). Two faces of power. In *American Political Science Review*, 56.

Baik, J. S. (2020). Data privacy against innovation or against discrimination?: The case of the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA). *Telematics and Informatics*, *52*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2020.101431

Banga, K. (2018). Digital transformation in Africa: Three lessons from India's experience. *ODI*. <u>https://odi.org/en/insights/digital-transformation-in-africa-three-lessons-from-indias-experience/</u>

Batley, M. (2005). Restorative justice in the South African context. In Maepa, T. (ed.) *Beyond Retribution: Prospects for Restorative Justice in South Africa* (pp. 21-33). Institute for Security Studies. <u>https://issafrica.s3.amazonaws.com/site/uploads/</u> 111CHAP2.PDF Bender, E. M., Gebru, T., McMillan-Major, A., and Shmitchell, S., (2021). On the dangers of stochastic parrots: Can language models be too big? , In *Proceedings of the* 2021 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 610–623. https://doi.org/10.1145/3442188.3445922

Benford, R. (2005). The half-life of the environmental justice frame: Innovation, diffusion, and stagnation. In D. Pellow and R. Brulle (eds.), *Power, Justice and Environment: A Critical Appraisal of the Environmental Justice Movement*, 37-54. Cambridge: MIT Press. <u>https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Robert-Benford/publication/290129642 The halflife of the environmental justice frame Innovation diffus ion and stagnation/links/56d4997408ae9e9dea65b556/Th e-half-life-of-the-environmental-justice-frame-Innovationdiffusion-and-stagnation.pdf</u>

Benjamin, R. (2019). *Race after technology: Abolitionist tools for the new Jim code*. Polity Books. https://doi.org/10.1093/sf/soz162

Berberich, N., Nishida, T., & Suzuki, S. (2020). Harmonizing artificial intelligence for social good. *Philosophy & Technology*, 33(4), 613-638. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-020-00421-8</u>

Berditchevskaia, A., Malliaraki, E., & Peach, K. (2021). Participatory AI for humanitarian innovation: a briefing paper. Nesta. <u>https://media.nesta.org.uk/documents/Nesta_Participatory_</u> AI for humanitarian innovation_Final.pdf

- Berendt, B. (2019). Al for the Common Good?! Pitfalls, challenges, and ethics pen-testing. *Paladyn, Journal* of *Behavioral Robotics*, *10*(1), pp. 44-65. <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1810.12847.pdf</u>
- Bezuidenhout, L. M., Leonelli, S., Kelly, A. H., & Rappert, B. (2017). Beyond the digital divide: Towards a situated approach to open data. *Science and Public Policy*, 44(4), 464–475. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/scipol/scw036</u>
- Bhabha, H. K. (1994). The location of culture. Routledge.
- Biehl, J., & Petryna, A. (2013). *When people come first: Critical studies in global health.* Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Bigo, D., & Guild, E. (Eds.). (2005). Controlling frontiers: free movement into and within Europe. Ashgate Publishing, Ltd.
- Bigo, D., Isin, E., & Ruppert, E. (2019). *Data politics: Worlds, subjects, rights* (p. 304). Taylor & Francis.
- Bijker, W. E., Hughes, T. P., & Pinch, T. (2012). The social construction of technological systems: New directions in the sociology and history of technology. Anniversary ed. MIT Press.
- Birch, K. (2020). Technoscience rent: Toward a theory of rentiership for technoscientific capitalism. *Science, Technology, & Human Values, 45*(1), 3-33. https://doi.org/10.1177/0162243919829567
- Birch, K., Chiappetta, M., & Artyushina, A. (2020). The problem of innovation in technoscientific capitalism: Data rentiership and the policy implications of turning personal digital data into a private asset. *Policy Studies*, 41(5), 468–487. https://doi.org/10.1080/01442872.2020.1748264



- Birch, K., & Cochrane, D. T. (2021). Big Tech: Four emerging forms of digital rentiership. *Science as Culture*. 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1080/09505431.2021.1932794
- Birhane, A. (2021). Algorithmic injustice: a relational ethics approach. *Patterns*, 2(2), 100205. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patter.2021.100205</u>
- Black, E. (2012). *IBM and the Holocaust: The strategic alliance* between Nazi Germany and America's most powerful corporation (Expanded ed). Dialog press.
- Bloor. D. (1976). *Knowledge and social imagery*. Routledge Direct Edition.
- Borgman, C. L. (2015). *Big data, little data, no data: Scholarship in the networked world*. MIT Press. <u>https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/9963.001.0001</u>
- Bradford, A. (2020). *The Brussels effect: How the European Union rules the world*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/ <u>10.1093/oso/9780190088583.001.0001</u>
- Braithwaite, J. (1989). *Crime, shame and reintegration*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511804618
- Braun, L. (2014). Breathing race into the machine: The surprising career of the spirometer from plantation to genetics. U of Minnesota Press. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abl8016
- Breen, K. (2007). Violence and power: A critique of Hannah Arendt on the political. *Philosophy & Social Criticism*, 33(3), 343-372. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0191453707076143</u>
- Brookings. (2020). Foresight Africa: Top priorities for the continent 2020-2030. Brookings.
- Browne, S. (2015). *Dark matters: On the surveillance of Blackness*. Duke University Press. <u>https://doi.org/10.1515/9780822375302</u>
- Browne, S. (2012). Race and surveillance. In K. Ball, K. Haggerty & D. Lyon (Eds.), *Routledge handbook of surveillance studies* (1st ed.). Routledge. 72-79. <u>https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203814949</u>
- Browning, E. (1993). *Philosophy and feminist criticism: An introduction.* Paragon House.
- Burgelman, J. C., Pascu, C., Szkuta, K., Von Schomberg, R., Karalopoulos, A., Repanas, K., & Schouppe, M. (2019). Open science, open data, and open scholarship: European policies to make science fit for the Twenty-First Century. *Frontiers in Big Data*, 2, 43. https://doi.org/10.3389/fdata.2019.00043
- Bryan, K., Griffiths, S., & Ungoed-Thomas, J. (2020). *Revealed: Betting firms use school data on 28m children.* The Sunday Times. <u>https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/revealed-betting-</u> <u>firms-use-schools-data-on-28m-children-dn37nwgd5</u>
- Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). *The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies.* WW Norton & Company. https://doi.org/10.1080/15228053.2014.943094
- Buber, M. (1958). *I and thou* (R. G. Smith, trans.). Charles Scribner's Sons.

- Buber, M. (1961). *Between man and man* (R. G. Smith, trans.). Collins.
- Buber, M. (1963). *Pointing the way* (M. S. Friedman, trans.). Harper Torchbooks.
- Bucher, T. (2017). The algorithmic imaginary: exploring the ordinary affects of Facebook algorithms. *Information, communication & society, 20*(1), 30-44. https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2016.1154086
- Bull, S., Cheah, P. Y., Denny, S., Jao, I., Marsh, V., Merson, L., Shah More, N., Nhan, L. N. T., Osrin, D., Tangseefa, D., Wassenaar, D., & Parker, M. (2015). Best practices for ethical sharing of individual-level health research data from low- and middle-income settings. *Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics*, 10(3), 302–313. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1556264615594606</u>
- Bullard, N., & Müller, T. (2012). Beyond the 'green economy': System change, not climate change & quest. *Development*, 55(1): 54-62.
- Bullard, R. D. (1993). Race and environmental justice in the United States. *Yale Journal of International Law*, *18*, 319.
- Buolamwini, J., & Gebru, T. (2018, January). Gender shades: Intersectional accuracy disparities in commercial gender classification. In *Conference on fairness, accountability and transparency* (pp. 77-91). PMLR. https://proceedings.mlr.press/v81/buolamwini18a.html
- Burris, V. (1988). Reification: A Marxist perspective. *California Sociologist*, *10*(1), 22-43.
- Bustamante, S. (2019). The end of the cognitive empire. *Revista Europea de Estudios Latinoamericanos y del Caribe*, (108), 1-3.
- Cabral, A. (1973). Return to the Source: Selected Speeches New York. *Monthly Review*, 79.
- Cabral, A. (1979). Unity and struggle: Speeches and writings of Amilcar Cabral (Vol. 3). NYU Press.
- Cabral, A. (2016). *Resistance and decolonization* (D. Wood, trans.). Rowman & Littlefield International.
- Campbell, C. (2019). *How China is using "social credit scores"* to reward and punish its citizens. Time. <u>https://time.com/collection/davos-2019/5502592/china-</u> social-credit-score/
- Canada Action Plan (2018-2020). Reconciliation and open government (CA0072). <u>https://www.opengovpartnership.org/members/canada/com</u> <u>mitments/CA0072/</u>
- Canguilhem, G. (1989). *The normal and the pathological*. Zone Books.
- Capurro, R. (2005). Privacy. An intercultural perspective. *Ethics and Information Technology*, 7(1), 37–47. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10676-005-4407-4</u>
- Capurro, R. (2008). Intercultural information ethics: Foundations and applications. Journal of Information, Communication and Ethics in Society. 6(2), 116-126. <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/14779960810888347</u>
- Cash, R. & Patel, V. (2020) Has COVID-19 subverted global health? *The Lancet.* 395(10238), 1687-1688. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(20)31089-8



- Castells, M. (2011). Network theory A network theory of power. *International journal of communication*, *5*, 15.
- Castro-Gómez, S. (2021a). *Critique of Latin American reason*. Columbia University Press. <u>https://doi.org/10.7312/cast20006</u>
- Castro-Gómez, S. (2021b). Zero-point hubris: Science, race, and enlightenment in eighteenth-century Latin America. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Cath, C., Wachter, S., Mittelstadt, B., Taddeo, M., & Floridi, L. (2018). Artificial intelligence and the 'good society': The US, EU, and UK approach. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 24(2), 505–528. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-017-9901-7</u>
- Cehan, A. (2012). Surveillance as biopower. In K. Ball, K. Haggerty & D. Lyon (Eds.), *Routledge handbook of surveillance studies, 1*, 36-46. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.4324/9780203814949.</u> <u>ch1_1_c</u>
- Center for Justice & Reconciliation. (n.d.). *Circles.* <u>http://restorativejustice.org/restorative-justice/about-</u> <u>restorative-justice/tutorial-intro-to-restorative-justice/lesson-</u> <u>3-programs/circles/#sthash.hRS1ff5G.dpbs</u>
- Chakrabarty, D. (2000). *Provincializing Europe*. Princeton University Press. <u>https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400828654</u>
- Chander, A., & Le, U. P. (2014). Breaking the web: Data localization vs. the global internet. *Emory Law Journal, Forthcoming, UC Davis Legal Studies Research Paper*, (378). <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2407858</u>
- Chenou, J. M., & Cepeda-Másmela, C. (2019). #NiUnaMenos: Data activism from the Global South. *Television & New Media*, 20(4), 396–411. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1527476419828995</u>
- Chomanski, B. (2021). The missing ingredient in the case for regulating Big Tech. *Minds and Machines*, 1-19. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1007/s11023-021-09562-x</u>
- Cifor, M., Garcia, P., Cowan, T.L., Rault, J., Sutherland, T., Chan, A., Rode, J., Hoffmann, A.L., Salehi, N., Nakamura, L. (2019). *Feminist data manifest-no*. https://www.manifestno.com/
- Cinnamon, J. (2017). Social injustice in surveillance capitalism. *Surveillance & Society*, *15*(5), 609-625. <u>https://doi.org/10.24908/ss.v15i5.6433</u>
- Cinnamon, J. (2019). Data inequalities and why they matter for development. *Information Technology for Development*, 26(2), 214–233. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/02681102.2019.1650244</u>
- Ciuriak, D. (2021). The geopolitics of the data-driven Economy. *Available at SSRN* 3770470. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3770470</u>
- Ciuriak, D., & Ptashkina, M. (2021). The data-driven economy and the role of the state. In *Power and Authority in Internet Governance* (pp. 76-94). Routledge.
- Cobbe, J., Lee, M. S. A., & Singh, J. (2021, March). Reviewable automated decision-making: A framework for accountable algorithmic systems. In *Proceedings of the 2021 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency.* <u>https://doi.org/10.1145/3442188.3445921</u>

- Cobbe, J. & Singh, J. (2021). Artificial intelligence as a service: Legal responsibilities, liabilities, and policy challenges. *Computer Law & Security Review (42)* 105573. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2021.105573</u>
- Coeckelbergh, M. (2021). Al for climate: Freedom, justice, and other ethical and political challenges. *Al Ethics* 1, 67–72. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-020-00007-2</u>
- Cohen, J. E. (2019). Between truth and power: The legal constructions of informational capitalism. Oxford University Press. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190246693.</u> <u>001.0001</u>
- Colclough, C. J. (2021). *Digitalisation: A union action guide for public services, work and workers.* Public Services International. <u>https://publicservices.international/resources/digital-</u> <u>publication/digitalisation-br-a-union-action-guide-for-public-</u> <u>services-work-and-workers?id=11767&lang=en</u>
- Coleman, D. (2019). Digital colonialism: The 21st century scramble for Africa through the extraction and control of user data and the limitations of data protection laws. *Mich. J. Race & L. 24*, 417. https://repository.law.umich.edu/mjrl/vol24/iss2/6
- Collins, P. H. (1990). Black feminist thought: Knowledge, consciousness, and the politics of empowerment. Unwin Hyman.
- Collins, P. H. (1998). Fighting words: Black women and the search for justice. U of Minnesota Press.
- Collins, P. H. (2004). Black sexual politics: African Americans, gender, and the new racism. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203309506
- Comaroff, J., & Comaroff, J. L. (2012). Theory from the South: Or, how Euro-America is evolving toward Africa. Routledge. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00664677.2012.694169</u>
- Cook, K., Daly, K., & Stubbs, J. (Eds.). (2006). Special issue on gender, race, and restorative justice. *Theoretical Criminology*, 10, 1.
- Cory, N. (2017). Cross-border data flows: Where are the barriers, and what do they cost? *Information Technology and Innovation Foundation*. <u>https://itif.org/publications/2017/05/01/cross-border-data-flows-where-are-barriers-and-what-do-they-cost</u>
- Costanza-Chock, S. (2018). Design justice, A.I., and escape from the matrix of domination. *Journal of Design and Science*. <u>https://doi.org/10.21428/96c8d426</u>
- Costanza-Chock, S. (2020). *Design justice: Community-led practices to build the worlds we need.* The MIT Press. https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/12255.001.0001
- Costello, A. (2018). ALMA-ATA at 40: The power of sympathy groups and participation. *Health and Human Rights Journal*. <u>http://www.anthonycostello.net/2018/09/22/alma-ata-at-40-the-power-of-sympathy-groups-and-participation/</u>
- Couldry, N., & Mejias, U. A. (2018). Data colonialism: Rethinking big data's relation to the contemporary subject. *Television & New Media*, 20(4), 336–349. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1527476418796632</u>



Couldry, N., & Mejias, U. A. (2019). *The costs of connection*. Stanford University Press. <u>https://doi.org/10.1515/9781503609754</u>

- Council of Europe. (1953). *European convention on human rights*, 34.
- Crampton, J. W. (2018). Geopolitics and digital geographies. *Digital Geographies*, 281.

Crane, J. (2011). Scrambling for Africa? Universities and global health. *The Lancet*, 377(9775), 1388–1390. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61920-4

- Crawford, K. (2014). The anxieties of big data. *The New Inquiry*, *30*, 2014.
- Crawford, K., & Calo, R. (2016). There is a blind spot in Al research. *Nature News*, *538*(7625), 311. https://doi.org/10.1038/538311a

Dagne, T. (2020). Embracing the data revolution for development: A data justice framework for farm data in the context of African Indigenous farmers. *The Journal of Law, Social Justice and Global Development, 25.* <u>https://doi.org/10.31273/LGD.2019.2502</u>

- Dahl, R.A. (1961). *Who governs?* New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Dahl, R.A. (1968). Power. In Sills, D. L. and Merton, R. K. (Eds.) International encyclopedia of the social sciences. New York: Free Press, 405–415.
- Dahl, R.A. (2007). The concept of power. *Behavioral Science*, 2 (3), 201–215. <u>https://fbaum.unc.edu/teaching/articles/Dahl_Power</u> _1957.pdf
- Daly, K. (2016). What is restorative justice? Fresh answers to a vexed question. *Victims & Offenders*, 11(1), 9–29. https://doi.org/10.1080/15564886.2015.1107797
- Davidson, D. (1984). Radical translation. In D. Davidson, Inquiries into truth and interpretation (pp. 125–139). Clarendon Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/0199246297.001.0001
- Davidson, D. (2001). *Inquiries Into Truth and Interpretation: Philosophical Essays Volume 2* (Vol. 2). Oxford University Press.
- de Brún, T., O'Reilly-de Brún, M., Weel-Baumgarten, V., Burns, N., Dowrick, C., Lionis, C., ... & MacFarlane, A. (2017). Using Participatory Learning & Action (PLA) research techniques for inter-stakeholder dialogue in primary healthcare: an analysis of stakeholders' experiences. *Research involvement and engagement*, 3(1), 1-25. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s40900-017-0077-8</u>
- Deibert, R. J., & Pauly, L. W. (2019). Mutual entanglement and complex sovereignty in cyberspace. In *Data Politics* (pp. 81-99). Routledge. <u>https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315167305</u>
- Delacroix, S., & Lawrence, N. (2019). Bottom-up data trusts: disturbing the 'one size fits all' approach to data governance. *International Data Privacy Law*, 9(4) pp. 236– 252. https://doi.org/10.1093/idpl/ipz014
- Dencik, L., Hintz, A., & Cable, J. (2016). Towards data justice? The ambiguity of anti-surveillance resistance in political activism. *Big Data & Society*, *3*(2), 205395171667967. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716679678</u>

- Dencik, L., & Cable, J. (2017). The advent of surveillance realism: Public opinion and activist responses to the Snowden leaks. *International Journal of Communication*, *11*, 763-781. https://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/5524/1939
- Dencik, L., Hintz, A., Redden, J., & Treré, E. (2019). Exploring data justice: Conceptions, applications and directions. *Information, Communication & Society*, 22(7), 873-881. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2019.1606268</u>
- Design Justice Network. (n.d.). *Design justice network.* <u>https://designjustice.org</u>
- de Sousa Santos, B. (2014). *Epistemologies of the South: Justice against epistemicide*. Routledge. <u>https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315634876</u>
- de Sousa Santos, B. (2018). *The end of the cognitive empire*. Duke University Press. https://doi.org/10.1215/9781478002000
- De Souza, S. (2019). "Ask Alexa" to diagnose your health problems. *Lexology*. <u>https://www.lexology.com/library/detail.aspx?g=54005b65-</u> <u>f197-4947-afaf-78e1ded6caee</u>
- Desrosières, A. (1998). *The politics of large numbers: A history of statistical reasoning*. Harvard University Press.
- Dewey, J. (1927). *The public and its problems: An essay in political inquiry*. Swallow Press.
- Dewey, J. (1960). The quest for certainty. Penguin Books.
- Dewey, J. (1997). *How we think*. Dover Publications. (Original work published 1933)
- Dieleman, S., Rondel, D., & Voparil, C. J. (Eds.). (2017). *Pragmatism and justice*. Oxford University Press. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780190459239</u>. <u>001.0001</u>
- D'Ignazio, C., & Klein, L. F. (2020). *Data feminism*. MIT press. https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/11805.001.0001
- D'Ignazio, C., & Klein, L. (2018). Chapter one: Bring back the bodies. In *Data Feminism*. <u>https://mitpressonpubpub.mitpress.mit.edu/pub/zrlj0jqb</u>
- Ding, J. (2018). Deciphering China's AI dream. *Future of Humanity Institute Technical Report.* <u>https://www.fhi.ox.ac.uk/wp-</u> <u>content/uploads/Deciphering_Chinas_AI-Dream.pdf</u>
- DiSalvo, C. (2012). Adversarial design. MIT Press. https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/8732.001.0001
- Dove, E. S. (2015). Reflections on the concept of open data. *SCRIPTed*, 12(2), 154–166. <u>https://doi.org/10.2966/scrip.120215.154</u>
- Dresner, E. (2011). The principle of charity and intercultural communication. *International Journal of Communication*, 5, 14. <u>https://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/viewFile/817/574</u>
- Dussel, E. D. (1985). Philosophy of liberation. Orbis.
- Dussel, E. D. (2012). Transmodernity and interculturality: An interpretation from the perspective of philosophy of liberation. *Transmodernity: Journal of Peripheral Cultural Production of the Luso-Hispanic World*, 1(3). https://doi.org/10.5070/T413012881



Dussel, E. D., Krauel, J., & Tuma, V. C. (2000). Europe, modernity, and Eurocentrism. *Nepantla: Views from South*, 1(3), 465–478.

Dutta, M. J. (2011). Communicating social change: Structure, culture, and agency. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203834343

Dutta, M.J. (2012). Voices of resistance: Communication and social change. Purdue University Press.

Dutta, M.J. (2020). Communication, culture and social change: Meaning, co-option and resistance. Springer International Publishing. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-26470-3</u>

Egbert S., Mann, M. (2021). Discrimination in predictive policing: The (dangerous) myth of impartiality and the need for STS analysis. In Završnik A., Badalič V. (Eds.) *Automating Crime Prevention, Surveillance, and Military Operations*. Springer, Cham. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-73276-9_2</u>

Epstein, S. (1995). The construction of lay expertise: AIDS activism and the forging of credibility in the reform of clinical trials. *Science, Technology and Human Values* 20(4):408-437. https://doi.org/10.1177/016224399502000402

Escobar, A. (2010). Worlds and knowledges otherwise: The Latin American modernity/coloniality research program. In *Globalization and the Decolonial Option*. Routledge.

Ess, C. (2007). Cybernetic pluralism in an emerging global information and computing ethics. *International Review* of *Information Ethics*, 7(09). <u>http://www.i-r-i-</u> e.net/inhalt/007/11-ess.pdf

Ess, C. (2008). East–West perspectives on privacy, ethical pluralism and global information ethics. In *Wittgenstien and the Philosophy of Information. Proceedings of the 30th International Wittgenstien-Symposium in Kirchberg, 2007.* https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110328486.185

Ess, C. M. (2020). Interpretative pros hen pluralism: From computer-mediated colonization to a pluralistic intercultural digital ethics. *Philosophy of Technology*, 33, 551–569. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-020-00412-9

Eubanks, V. (2018). Automating inequality: How high-tech tools profile, police, and punish the poor. St. Martin's Press.

European Union. (2016). Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation) (Text with EEA relevance). *Official Journal of the European Union*, L 119, 1-88. CELEX: <u>https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legalcontent/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32016R0679</u>

European Union. (2020). Proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on European data governance (Data Governance Act). *European Union*. <u>https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-</u> content/EN/ALL/?uri=CELEX:52020PC0767

European Union. (2021). Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council laying down harmonized rules on artificial intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act) and amending certain union legislative acts. *European Union*. <u>https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legalcontent/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A52021PC0206</u> European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights. (2020). Getting the future right artificial intelligence and fundamental rights. *Publications Office of the European Union*. <u>https://fra.europa.eu/en/publication/2020/artificialintelligence-and-fundamental-rights</u>

Eze, M. O. (2008). What is African communitarianism? Against consensus as a regulative ideal. South African Journal of Philosophy= Suid-Afrikaanse Tydskrif vir Wysbegeerte, 27(4), 386-399. https://doi.org/10.4314/sajpem.v27i4.31526

Fattah, E. (1998). Some reflections on the paradigm of restorative justice and its viability for juvenile justice. In L. Walgrave (Ed.), *Restorative justice for juveniles: Potentialities, risks and problems* (pp. 389–401). Leuven University Press.

Fazelpour, S., & Lipton, Z. C. (2020, February). Algorithmic fairness from a non-ideal perspective. In *Proceedings of the AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society* (pp. 57-63). <u>https://doi.org/10.1145/3375627.3375828</u>

Feminist Internet. (n.d.). *Feminist Internet.* Retrieved September 22, 2021, from https://www.feministinternet.com/

Feminist Internet, & Rincon, C. (2020). Trans-competent design tool. *Feminist Internet.*

Feminist Internet & Young, J. (2019). Feminist design tool. *Feminist Internet.*

Floridi, L., & Savulescu, J. (2006). Information ethics: Agents, artefacts and new cultural perspectives. *Ethics and Information Technology*, 8, 155–156. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10676-006-9106-2</u>

Foucault, M. (1972). *Archaeology of knowledge*. Pantheon Books.

Foucault, M. (1978). *The history of sexuality: An introduction, volume I.* Pantheon Books.

Foucault, M. (1990/1976). The history of sexuality. Penguin.

Foucault, M. (2003/1976). Society must be defended: Lectures at the College de France, 1975–1976. Picador.

Foucault, M. (2005). *The order of things*. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203996645

Fourcade, M. (2021). Ordinal citizenship. *The British Journal of Sociology*, 72(2), 154-173. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-</u> <u>4446.12839</u>

Fourcade, M., & Gordon, J. (2020). Learning like a state: Statecraft in the digital age. *Journal of Law and Political Economy*, 1(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.5070/LP61150258</u>

Foxglove. (2020). Home Office says it will abandon its racist visa algorithm – after we sued them. *Foxglove*. <u>https://www.foxglove.org.uk/2020/08/04/home-office-says-it-will-abandon-its-racist-visa-algorithm-after-we-sued-them/</u>

Frank, M. R., Wang, D., Cebrian, M., & Rahwan, I. (2019). The evolution of citation graphs in artificial intelligence research. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 1(2), 79–85. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0024-5</u>



Fraser, N. (2008). Abnormal justice. *Critical inquiry*, *34*(3), 393-422. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780190459239. 003.0003

- Fraser, N. (2010). Scales of justice: Reimagining political space in a globalizing world (Reprinted). Polity Press.
- Fraser, N., & Honneth, A. (2003). *Redistribution or recognition?* A political-philosophical exchange. Verso.
- Frauenberger, C., Spiel, K., & Makhaeva, J. (2019). Thinking OutsideTheBox—Designing smart things with autistic children. *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction*, *35*(8), 666–678. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2018.1550177</u>
- Gandhi, L. (1998). *Postcolonial theory*. Columbia University Press.
- Gandy, O., Jr. (2021). The panoptic sort: A political economy of personal information. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780197579411. 001.0001
- Gillespie, T. (2007). Wired shut: Copyright and the shape of digital culture. MIT Press. https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/7253.001.0001
- Gebru, T. (2019). Oxford handbook on AI ethics book chapter on race and gender. *arXiv preprint.* <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.06165v1</u>
- Glissant, E. (2020). *Treatise on the whole-world*. Liverpool University Press. <u>https://doi.org/10.3828/liverpool/9781789620986.001.0001</u>
- Goldacre, B., Harrison, S., Mahtani, K. R., & Heneghan, C. (2015). WHO consultation on data and results sharing during public health emergencies [Background briefing]. *Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences*, University of Oxford.
- Gray, J. (2019). Data witnessing: Attending to injustice with data in Amnesty International's Decoders project. *Information, Communication & Society*, 22(7), 971-991. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2019.1573915</u>
- Gray, J. (2021). The geopolitics of 'platforms': the TikTok challenge. *Internet Policy Review*. <u>https://doi.org/10.14763/2021.2.1557</u>
- Greenleaf, G. (2012). The influence of European data privacy standards outside Europe: implications for globalization of Convention 108. *International Data Privacy Law, 2*(2), 68-92. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/idpl/ips006</u>
- Greenleaf, G. (2019). Global data privacy laws 2019: 132 national laws & many bills. *157 Privacy Laws & Business International Report*, 14-18. <u>https://ssrn.com/abstract=3381593</u>
- Grewal, D. S. (2008). *Network power*. Yale University Press. <u>https://doi.org/10.12987/9780300145120</u>
- Grosfoguel, R. (2003). *Colonial subjects: Puerto Ricans in a global perspective*. Univ of California Press.
- Grosfoguel, R. (2007). The epistemic decolonial turn: Beyond political-economy paradigms. *Cultural studies*, *21*(2-3), 211-223. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/09502380601162514</u>

- Grosfoguel, R. (2011). Decolonizing post-colonial studies and paradigms of political-economy: Transmodernity, decolonial thinking, and global coloniality. *Transmodernity: Journal of Peripheral Cultural Production of the Luso-Hispanic World*, 1(1). https://doi.org/10.5070/T411000004
- Grosfoguel, R., Saldivar, J. D., & Torres, N. M. (2007). Unsettling postcoloniality: Coloniality, transmodernity and border thinking. Duke University Press.
- Gupta, S., Agrawal, A., Gopalakrishnan, K., & Narayanan, P. (2015). Deep learning with limited numerical precision. Proceedings of the 32nd International Conference on Machine Learning, PMLR, 37, 1737–1746. <u>https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.5555/3045118.3045303</u>
- Gutiérrez, M. (2019). *Data activism and Social Change*. Palgrave Pivot. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-78319-2</u>
- Gyekye, K. (1992). Person and community in Akan thought. In Wiredu, K., & Gyekye, K. (Eds.), *Person and community: Ghanaian philosophical studies*. (pp. 101-122). Council for Research in Values and Philosophy.
- Habermas, J. (1987). The theory of communicative action, volume two: Lifeworld and system: A critique of functionalist reason. (T. A. McCarthy, Trans.)
 Beacon Press. (Original work published in 1981)
- Habermas, J. (1992). *Postmetaphysical thinking: Philosophical essays*. MIT Press.
- Habermas, J. (2001). *Moral consciousness and communicative action*. MIT Press. (Original work published 1990)
- Habermas, J. (2002). *The inclusion of the other: Studies in political theory*. Polity. (Original work published 1996)
- Habermas, J. (2003). *On the pragmatics of communication*. Polity. (Original work published 1998)
- Habermas, J. (2008). *Between naturalism and religion: Philosophical essays*. Polity Press.
- Habermas, J., & McCarthy, T. (1977). Hannah Arendt's communications concept of power. *Social research*, 3-24.
- Hacking, I. (1975). The emergence of probability: A philosophical study of early ideas about probability, induction and statistical inference. Cambridge University Press.
- Hacking, I. (1990). *The taming of chance*. Cambridge University Press.
- Hacking, I. (2015). Biopower and the avalanche of printed numbers. *Biopower: Foucault and beyond*, 65-80.
- Haddon, C., Devanny, J., Forsdick, C. & Thompson, A. (2015). What Is the value of history in policymaking? Institute for Government. <u>https://www.instituteforgovernment.org.uk/sites/default/files</u> /publications/Making%20History%20Work%20Report%20-%20Final_0.pdf
- Hajer, M.A. (1995). The politics of environmental discourse: Ecological modernization and the policy process. Clarendon Press.
- Halualani, R. T. (2019). *Intercultural communication: A critical perspective*. Cognella.

Hanna, A., Denton, E., Smart, A., & Smith-Loud, J. (2020, January). Towards a critical race methodology in algorithmic fairness. In *Proceedings of the 2020 conference on fairness, accountability, and transparency* (pp. 501-512). <u>https://doi.org/10.1145/3351095.3372826</u>

Haraway, D. (1988). Situated knowledges: The science question in feminism and the privilege of partial perspective. *Feminist Studies, 14*(3), 575-599. <u>https://www.jstor.org/stable/3178066?seq=1#metadata_inf_o_tab_contents</u>

Harding, S. G. (1992). Rethinking standpoint epistemology: What is "strong objectivity?" *The Centennial Review*, 36(3), 437–470.

Harding, S. G. (1995). "Strong objectivity": A response to the new objectivity question. *Synthese*, 104(3), 331–349. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01064504

Harding, S. G. (2008). *Sciences from below: Feminisms,* postcolonialities, and modernities. Duke University Press. <u>https://doi.org/10.1215/9780822381181</u>

Harding, S. G. (2015). *Objectivity and diversity: Another logic of scientific research*. The University of Chicago Press. https://doi.org/10.7208/9780226241531

Harrington, C., Erete, S., & Piper, A. M. (2019). Deconstructing community-based collaborative design: Towards more equitable participatory design engagements. *Proceedings* of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction, 3(CSCW), 216:1-216:25. <u>https://doi.org/10.1145/3359318</u>

Hasinoff, A., Gibson, A., & Salehi, N. (2020). The promise of restorative justice in addressing online harm. *The Brookings Institution.* <u>https://www.brookings.edu/techstream/the-promise-ofrestorative-justice-in-addressing-online-harm/</u>

Heeks, R. (2017). A structural model and manifesto for data justice for international development. *Development Informatics Working paper*, (69). <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3431729</u>

Heeks, R., & Renken, J. (2016). Data justice for development: What would it mean? *Information Development*, *34*(1), 90–102. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0266666916678282</u>

Heeks, R., & Shekhar, S. (2019). Datafication, development and marginalised urban communities: An applied data justice framework. *Information, Communication & Society*, 22(7), 992–1011. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2019.1599039</u>

Hershock, P. D. (2021). *Buddhism and Intelligent Technology: Toward a More Humane Future*. Bloomsbury Publishing. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5040/9781350182301</u>

Hoffmann, A. L. (2019). Where fairness fails: Data, algorithms, and the limits of antidiscrimination discourse. *Information, Communication & Society* 22:7, 900-915, <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2019.1573912</u>

Hoffmann, A. L. (2021). Even when you are a solution you are a problem: An uncomfortable reflection on Feminist data ethics. *Global Perspectives*, 2(1). https://doi.org/10.1525/gp.2021.21335 Hon, K., Millard, C., Singh, J., Walden, I, & Crowcroft, J. (2016). Policy, legal and regulatory implications of a Europe-only cloud. *International Journal of Law and Information Technology*, 24(3), 251–278. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ijlit/eaw006</u>

Honeyman M., Maguire D., Evans H. & Davies A. (2020). *Digital technology and health inequalities: A scoping review.* Public Health Wales NHS Trust. <u>https://phw.nhs.wales/publications/publications1/digital-</u> <u>technology-and-health-inequalities-a-scoping-review/</u>

Hongladarom, S. (2016a). A Buddhist theory of privacy. In *A Buddhist theory of privacy* (pp. 57-84). Springer.

Hongladarom, S. (2016b). Intercultural information ethics: A pragmatic consideration. In M. Kelly & J. Bielby (Eds.), *Information cultures in the digital age* (pp. 191-206). Springer VS, Wiesbaden.

Hongladarom S., & Ess, C. (Eds.). (2007). Information technology ethics: Cultural perspectives. IGI Global.

Honneth, A. (1993). The critique of power: Reflective stages in a critical social theory. (K. Baynes, Trans.). (3rd edition). MIT Press.

Honneth, A. (1995). *The fragmented world of the social: Essays in social and political philosophy*. State University of New York Press.

Honneth, A. (1996). *The struggle for recognition: The moral grammar of social conflicts*. (J. Anderson, Trans.). MIT Press.

Honneth, A. (2004). Recognition and justice: Outline of a plural Theory of justice. *Acta Sociologica, vol.* 47(4), 351-364. <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/4195049</u>

Honneth, A. (2007). *Disrespect: The normative foundations* of critical theory. Polity Press.

Honneth, A. (2009). *Pathologies of reason: On the legacy of critical theory*. (J. Ingram, Trans.). Columbia University Press.

Honneth, A. (2012). *The I in we: Studies in the theory of recognition*. (J. Ganahl, Trans.). Polity Press.

Independent Māori Statutory Board (IMSB). (n.d). *What we do.* Retrieved on March 11, 2022. <u>https://www.imsb.maori.nz/what-we-do/introduction/</u>

Hooks, B. (1984). *Feminist theory: From margin to center*. South End Press.

Hooks, B. (1987). *Ain't I a woman: Black women and feminism*. Routledge.

Hooks, B. (2000). *Feminism is for everybody: Passionate politics*. South End Press.

Hotez, P. J., Batista, C., Amor, Y. B., Ergonul, O., Figueroa, J. P., Gilbert, S., Gursel, M., Hassanain, M., Kang, G., Kaslow, D. C., Kim, K. H., Lall, B., Larson, H., Naniche, D., Sheahan, T., Shoham, S., Wilder-Smith, A., ... Botazzi, M. E. (2021). Global public health security and justice for vaccines and therapeutics in the COVID-19 pandemic. *EClinical medicine*, *39*(101053). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.101053



- Huanacuni, F. (2010). Vivir bien/buen vivir: Filosofía, políticas, estrategias y experiencias regionales. *Convenio Andrés Bello/Instituto internacional de integración.*
- Internet Society. (2019). Internet society global internet report: Consolidation in the internet economy. <u>https://future.internetsociety.org/2019/wp-</u> <u>content/uploads/sites/2/2019/04/InternetSociety-</u> <u>GlobalInternetReport-</u> <u>ConsolidationintheInternetEconomy.pdf</u>
- Irani, L., Vertesi, J., Dourish, P., Philip, K., & Grinter, R. E. (2010). Postcolonial computing: A lens on design and development. Proceedings of the SIGCHI International Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems -CHI '10, 1311-1320. https://doi.org/10.1145/1753326.1753522
- Irwin, A. (2006). The politics of talk: Coming to terms with the 'new' scientific governance. *Social Studies of Science*, 36(2), 299-320.<u>https://www.jstor.org/stable/25474444</u>
- Jacobs, A. M., (2016). Policy making for the long term in advanced democracies. *Annual Review of Political Science* 19(1), 433-454. <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurevpolisci-110813-034103</u>
- Jagadish, H. V., Stoyanovich, J., & Howe, B. (2021a). COVID-19 brings data equity challenges to the fore. *Digital Government: Research and Practice*, 2(2), 1-7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1145/3440889</u>
- Jagadish, H. V., Stoyanovich, J., & Howe, B. (2021b). The many facets of data equity. *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*, 2841. <u>https://par.nsf.gov/servlets/purl/10287321</u>
- Janssen, H., Cobbe, J., & Singh, J. (2020). Personal information management systems: A user-centric privacy utopia? *Internet Policy Review*, 9(4). <u>https://doi.org/10.14763/2020.4.1536</u>
- Jasanoff, S. (2003). Breaking the waves in science studies: Comment on H.M. Collins and Robert Evans "The third wave of science studies". *Social Studies of Science, 33*(3), 389-400. <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/3183123</u>
- Jasanoff, S. (2005). *Designs on nature: Science and democracy in Europe and the United States* Princeton University Press.
- Jasanoff, S. (2011). Constitutional moments in governing science and technology. *Science and Engineering Ethics,* 17, 621–638. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-011-9302-2
- Jasanoff, S. (2015). Future imperfect: Science, technology, and the imaginations of modernity. In S. Jasanoff & S. Kim (Eds.), *Dreamscapes of modernity* (pp. 1-33). University of Chicago Press.
- Jasanoff, S. (2016). *The ethics of invention: Technology and the human* future. W.W. Norton & Company.
- Jeeyun, S. B. (2020). Data privacy and political distrust: Corporate 'pro liars,' 'gridlocked Congress,' and the Twitter issue public around the US privacy legislation. *Information, Communication & Society.* https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2020.1850839
- Jin, D. Y. (2015). *Digital platforms, imperialism and political culture*. Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.

- Jing, S., & Doorn, N. (2020). Engineers' moral responsibility: A confucian perspective. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, *26*(1), 233-253. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-019-00093-4</u>
- Joh, E. E. (2017). The undue influence of surveillance technology companies in policing. *New York University Law Review Online*, *92*, 19-47. https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2924620
- Johnson, J. A. (2014). From open data to information justice. *Ethics and Information Technology*, *16*(4), 263–274. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10676-014-9351-8</u>
- Johnstone, G. (1998). 'Restorative justice: an alternative to punishment or an alternative form of punishment?' In A. van Hirsch and A. Ashworth. (eds.), *Principled Sentencing: Readings on Theory and Policy*, *Second Edition*, 300-11. Oxford.
- Johnstone, G. (2002). *Restorative justice: Ideas, values, debates*. Willan Publishing.
- Johnstone, G., & Van Ness, D. W. (Eds.). (2007). *Handbook of restorative justice*. Willan Publishing.
- Kalluri, P. (2020). Don't ask if artificial intelligence is good or fair, ask how it shifts power. *Nature*, *583*(7815), 169–169. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-020-02003-2</u>
- Kalumba, K. M. (2020). A defense of Kwame Gyekye's moderate communitarianism. *Philosophical Papers*, *49*(1), 137–158. https://doi.org/10.1080/05568641.2019.1684840
- Kalupahana, D. J. (1987). Buddhism and social change. South Asia: Journal of South Asian Studies, 10(2), 5-13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00856408708723098</u>
- Kapoor, A., & Whitt, R. S. (2021). Nudging towards data equity: The role of stewardship and fiduciaries in the digital economy. Available at SSRN. <u>https://ssrn.com/abstract=3791845</u> <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3791845</u>
- Karliner, J. (2000, November 21). *Climate justice summit provides alternative vision*. Corpwatch: Holding corporations accountable. <u>http://www.corpwatch.org/article.php?id=977</u>.
- Katell, M., Young, M., Dailey, D., Herman, B., Guetler, V., Tam, A., Bintz, C., Raz, D., & Krafft, P. M. (2020). Toward situated interventions for algorithmic equity: Lessons from the field. *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*, 45-55. <u>https://doi.org/10.1145/3351095.3372874</u>.
- Kennedy, L., Sood, A., Chakraborty, D. & Chitta, R.M., (2019). Data justice through the prism of Information politics and resource injustice: A case study form Hyderabad's urban frontier. (Working paper 78). Centre for developmental informatics, global development institute SEED. <u>https://hummedia.manchester.ac.uk/institutes/gdi/publicatio</u> <u>ns/workingpapers/di/di_wp78.pdf</u>
- Kerr, A., Cunningham-Burley, S., & Tutton, R. (2007). Shifting subject positions: Experts and lay people in public dialogue. Social studies of science, 37(3), 385-411. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0306312706068492</u>
- Keyes, O., Hoy, J., & Drouhard, M. (2019). Human-computer insurrection: Notes on an anarchist HCI. <u>https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1908.06167</u>



- Khan, L. M. (2018). Sources of tech platform power. 2 Georgetown Law Technology Review, 325(2018). https://ssrn.com/abstract=3558741
- Khan, L. & Vaheesan, S. (2016). Market power and inequality: The antitrust counterrevolution and its discontents. *Harvard Law and Policy Review, 235*(2017). <u>https://ssrn.com/abstract=2769132</u>
- Kidd, D. (2019). Extra-activism: Counter-mapping and data justice. *Information, Communication & Society*, 22(7), 954– 970. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2019.1581243</u>
- Kiros, T. (2017). An interpretive introduction to classical Ethiopian philosophy. In A. Afolayan & T. Falola (Eds.), *The Palgrave handbook of African philosophy* (pp. 181-206). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Kitchin, R. (2014). *The data revolution: Big data, open data, data infrastructures and their consequences*. Sage Publishing.
- Kothari, A., Salleh, A., Escobar, A., Demaria, F., & Acosta, A. (Eds.). (2019). *Pluriverse: A post-development dictionary*. Tulika Books and Authorsupfront.
- Krishnan, A. (2021, April 15). Decolonial humanitarian digital governance. Berkman Klein Center- *Medium*. <u>https://medium.com/berkman-klein-center/decolonialhumanitarian-digital-governance-48b35b05b110</u>
- Kroesbergen-Kamps, J. (2020). Witchcraft after modernity: Old and new directions in the study of witchcraft in Africa. *HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory*, *10*(3), 860-873. <u>https://doi.org/10.1086/711757</u>
- Kukutai, T., Carroll, S. R., Walter, M. (2020, May 11). Indigenous world 2020: Indigenous data sovereignty. International work group for indigenous affairs (IWGIA). <u>https://www.iwgia.org/en/ip-i-iw/3652-iw-2020-indigenous-data-sovereignty.html#_edn1</u>
- Kukutai, T., & Taylor, J. (Eds.). (2016). Indigenous data sovereignty: Toward an agenda (Vol. 38). ANU Press.
- Kukutai, T. & Walter, M. (2015). Recognition and indigenizing official statistics: Reflections from Aotearoa New Zealand and Australia. *Statistical Journal of the IAOS, 31*(2), 317–326. https://hdl.handle.net/10289/11157
- Kwet, M. (2019). Digital colonialism: US empire and the new imperialism in the Global South. *Race & Class, 60*(4), 3-26. https://doi.org/10.1177/0306396818823172
- Lakhina, A., Crovella, M., & Diot, C. (2004). Characterization of network-wide anomalies in traffic flows. In *Proceedings of the 4th ACM SIGCOMM conference on Internet measurement*, 201-206. <u>https://doi.org/10.1145/1028788.1028813</u>
- Lang, T., (2011). Advancing global health research through digital technology and sharing data. *Science*, 331(6018), 714-717. <u>http://https//doi.org/10.1126/science.1199349</u>
- Lanier, J. (2014). Who owns the future? Simon & Schuster.
- Latour, B. & Woolgar, S. (1986). *Laboratory life: The construction of scientific facts.* (2nd edition). Princeton University Press.

- Learned-Miller, E., Ordoñez, V., Morgenstern, J., & Buolamwini, J. (2020, May 29). *Facial recognition technologies in the wild: A call for a federal office*. AJL. <u>https://www.ajl.org/federal-office-call</u>
- Lee, K. (2018). Al superpowers: China, Silicon Valley, and the new world order. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.
- Leonelli, S. (2013). Why the current insistence on open access to scientific data? Big data, knowledge production, and the political economy of contemporary biology. *Bulletin of Science, Technology & Society, 33*(1–2), 6–11. https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0270467613496768
- Leonelli, S. (2019). Data—From objects to assets. *Nature,* 574(7778), 317–320. https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-019-03062-w
- Leslie, D. (2019). Understanding artificial intelligence ethics and safety: A guide for the responsible design and implementation of AI systems in the public sector. *The Alan Turing institute, 3*(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.3240529</u>
- Leslie, D. (2020a). Tackling COVID-19 through responsible Al innovation: five steps in the right direction. *Harvard Data Science Review, 1.* <u>https://hdsr.mitpress.mit.edu/pub/as1p81um</u>
- Leslie, D. (2020b). Understanding bias in facial recognition technologies. *The Alan Turing institute*. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4050457
- Leslie, D. (2021). The arc of the data scientific universe. Harvard Data Science Review. https://hdsr.mitpress.mit.edu/pub/ln5hu6vq/release/1
- Leslie, D., Burr, C., Aitken, M., Cowls., J., Briggs, M. (2021). *Artificial intelligence, human rights, democracy, and the rule of law: A primer*. The Council of Europe. <u>https://rm.coe.int/cahai-feasibility-study-primer-</u> <u>final/1680a1eac8</u>
- Leslie, D., Holmes, L., Hitrova, C. & Ott, E. (2020). Ethics review of machine learning in children's social care. *What works for children's social care*. <u>https://whatworkscsc.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/WWCSC Ethics of</u> <u>Machine Learning in CSC Jan2020 Accessible.pdf</u>
- Leslie, D., Mazumder, A., Peppin, A., Wolters, M. K., & Hagerty, A. (2021). Does "Al" stand for augmenting inequality in the era of covid-19 healthcare? *BMJ*, 372(304). <u>https://doi.org</u> /10.1136/bmj.n304
- Lester, T. (2001, March). The reinvention of privacy. *The Atlantic*. <u>https://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2001/03/the-</u> <u>reinvention-of-privacy/302140/</u>
- Levinas, E. (1969). *Totality and infinity: An essay on exteriority*. Duquesne University Press.
- Levinas, E. (1978). *Otherwise than being or beyond essence*. (A. Lingis, Trans.). Duquesne University Press.
- Levinas, E. (1987). *Time and the other* (R. A. Cohen, Trans.). Duquesne University Press.



- Levinas, E. (1994). *Outside the subject*. (M. B. Smith, Trans.). Stanford University Press.
- Levinas, E. (1998). *Of God who comes to mind*. (B. Bergo, Trans.). Stanford University Press.
- Levinas, E. (1999). *Alterity and transcendence*. (M.B Smith, Trans.). Columbia University Press.
- Lewis, C. I. (1929). *Mind and the world order: Outline of a theory of knowledge*. Dover Publications.
- Lewis, J. E., Abdilla, A., Arista, N., Baker, K., Benesiinaabandan, S., Brown, M., Cheung, M., Coleman, M., Cordes, A., Davison, J., Duncan, K., Garzon, S., Harrell, D. F, Jones, P. L., Kealiikanakaoleohaililani, K., Kelleher, M., Kite, S., Lagon, O., Leigh, J. ... Whaanga, H. (2020). Indigenous protocol and artificial intelligence: Position paper. *Project report: Indigenous protocol and artificial intelligence working group and the Canadian institute for advanced research*. https://spectrum.library.concordia.ca/id/eprint/986506/7/Indi genous Protocol and Al 2020.pdf
- Lewis, J. E., Arista, N., Pechawis, A., & Kite, S. (2018). Making kin with the machines. *Journal of Design and Science*. <u>https://doi.org/10.21428/bfafd97b</u>
- Lewis, T., Saba, M., Gangadharan, S. P., & Petty, T. (2018). Digital defense playbook: Community power tools for reclaiming data. Our data bodies. <u>https://www.odbproject.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/</u> ODB DDP HighRes Spreads.pdf
- Li, C. (2006). The Confucian ideal of harmony. *Philosophy East and West, 56*(4), 583–603. <u>https://www.jstor.org/stable/4488054</u>
- Llewellyn, J. J., & Howse, R. (1999). Institutions for restorative justice: The South African truth and reconciliation commission. *The University of Toronto Law Journal*, 49(3), 355-388. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/826003</u>
- Lobato, R. (2019). *Netflix nations: The geography of digital distribution*. New York University Press.
- Lohr, S. (2019, September 26). At tech's leading edge, worry about a concentration of power. The New York Times. <u>https://www.nytimes.com/2019/09/26/technology/aicomputer-expense.html</u>
- Longdon, J. (2020). Environmental data justice. *The Lancet Planetary Health*, *4*(11), e510-e511. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(20)30254-0
- Lorde, A. (1984). *Sister outsider: Essays and speeches.* Crossing Press.
- Lorde, A. (2009). *I am your sister: Collected and unpublished writings of Audre Lorde*. R. P. Byrd, J. B. Cole, & B. Guy-Sheftall (Eds.). Oxford University Press.
- Lorde, A. (2018). *The master's tools will never dismantle the master's house*. Penguin UK.
- Lugones, M. (1994). Purity, impurity, and separation. *Signs: journal of women in culture and society*, *19*(2), 458-479. <u>https://www.jstor.org/stable/3174808</u>
- Lugones, M. (2007). Heterosexualism and the colonial/modern gender system. *Hypatia*, 22(1), 186-219. https://www.jstor.org/stable/4640051

- Lugones, M. (2010). Toward a decolonial feminism. *Hypatia*, 25(4), 742-759. <u>https://www.jstor.org/stable/40928654</u>
- Lukes, S. (1974). Power: A radical view. Macmillan.
- Lukes, S. (2015). Robert Dahl on power. *Journal of Political Power*, 8(2), 261–271. https://doi.org/10.1080/2158379X.2015.1057988
- Lupton, D. (2016). The quantified self. Polity.
- Lyon, D. (Ed.). (2003). Surveillance as social sorting: Privacy, risk, and digital discrimination. Routledge.
- MacDonald, T.H., & Tamnhe, R. (2009). *Removing the barriers to global health equity.* Taylor & Francis.
- MacKenzie, D., & Wajcman, J. (Eds.). (1985). *The social shaping of technology*. Open University Press.
- Madianou, M. (2019). Technocolonialism: Digital innovation and data practices in the humanitarian response to refugee crises. *Social Media* + *Society*, *5*(3), 1-13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305119863146</u>
- Maepa, T. (Ed.). (2005). *Beyond retribution: Prospects for restorative justice in South Africa.* Institute for security studies.
- Magalhães, J., & Couldry, N. (2021). Giving by taking away: Big tech, data colonialism, and the reconfiguration of social good. *International Journal Of Communication*, 15(2021), 343-362. <u>https://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/15995</u>
- Mann, L. (2018). Left to other peoples' devices? A political economy perspective on the big data revolution in development: A political economy of big data in development. *Development and Change*, *49*(1), 3–36. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/dech.12347</u>
- Marks, N. J., & Russell, A. W. (2015). Public engagement in biosciences and biotechnologies: Reflections on the role of sociology and STS. *Journal of Sociology*, *51*(1), 97–115. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1440783314562503</u>
- Marten, R., & and Witte, J. M. (2008). *Transforming* development? The role of philanthropic foundations in international development cooperation. Global public policy institute (GPPI). <u>https://www.files.ethz.ch/isn/57130/No.10_Transforming_D</u> evelopment.pdf
- Martin, A., & Taylor, L. (2021). Exclusion and inclusion in identification: Regulation, displacement and data justice. *Information Technology for Development, 27*(1), 50-66. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/02681102.2020.1811943</u>
- Mary Robinson Foundation Climate Justice (MRFCJ). (2013). *Climate justice baseline*. <u>https://www.mrfcj.org/media/pdf/ClimateJustice</u> <u>Baseline.pdf</u>
- Masolo, D. A. (1994). *African philosophy in search of identity*. Edinburgh University Press.
- Matthews, S. (2004). Post-development theory and the question of alternatives: A view from Africa. *Third World Quarterly*, *25*(2), 373–384. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/0143659042000174860</u>
- Mayer-Schönberger, V., & Cukier, K. (2013). *Big data: A revolution that will transform how we live, work, and think*. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.



Mbembe, A. (2017). *Critique of black reason*. Duke University Press.

Mbiti, J. S. (1970). *Concepts of God in Africa*. SPCK Publishing.

McElrea, F. (1994) Justice in the community: The New Zealand experience. In J. Burnside & N. Baker (Eds.), *Relational justice: repairing the breach* (pp. 93-103). Waterside Press.

McGregor, L., Murray, D., & Ng, V. (2019). International human rights law as a framework for algorithmic accountability. *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, *68*(2), 309– 343. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020589319000046</u>

McQuillan, D. (2018). Data science as machinic neoplatonism. *Philosophy & Technology*, *31*(2), 253–272. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-017-0273-3

McStay, A. (2021). Emotional AI, ethics, and Japanese spice: contributing community, wholeness, sincerity, and heart. *Philosophy & Technology*, *34*(4), 1781-1802. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-021-00487-y</u>

Medina, J. (2012). *The epistemology of resistance: Gender and racial oppression, epistemic injustice, and resistant imaginations.* Oxford University Press.

Menkel-Meadow, C. (2007). Restorative justice: What is it and does it work? *Annual Review of Law and Social Science,* 3(1), 161–187. <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.lawsocsci.2.081805.</u> 110005

Menkiti, I. A. (1984). Person and community in African traditional thought. In R. Wright (Ed.), *African philosophy: An introduction* (3rd edition, pp. 171-181). University Press of America.

Merson, L., Phong, T. V., Nhan, L. N. T., Dung, N. T., Ngan, T. T. D., Kinh, N. V., Parker, M., & Bull, S. (2015). Trust, respect, and reciprocity: Informing culturally appropriate data-sharing practice in Vietnam. *Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics*, 10(3), 251–263. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1556264615592387</u>

Mhlambi, S. (2020). From rationality to relationality: Ubuntu as an ethical and human rights framework for artificial intelligence governance. Carr Center Discussion Paper Series, 2020–009. <u>https://carrcenter.hks.harvard.edu/</u> <u>files/cchr/files/ccdp_2020-009_sabelo_b.pdf</u>

Miailhe, N. (2018). The geopolitics of artificial intelligence: The return of empires? *Politique Étrangere, 3*(2018), 105-117.

Mignolo, W. D. (2002). The geopolitics of knowledge and the colonial difference. *South Atlantic Quarterly*, *101*(1), 57-96. https://doi.org/10.1215/00382876-101-1-57

Mignolo, W. D. (2007). Delinking: The rhetoric of modernity, the logic of coloniality and the grammar of decoloniality. *Cultural studies*, *21*(2-3), 449-514. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/09502380601162647</u>

Mignolo, W. D. (2011). *The darker side of western modernity*. Duke University Press.

Mignolo, W. D., & Walsh, C. E. (2018). Interculturality and decoloniality. In W. D. Mignolo & C. E. Walsh (Eds.), On decoloniality (pp. 57-80). Duke University Press. Mijente, The National Immigration Project, & The Immigrant Defence Project. (2018). *Who's behind ice? The tech and data companies fueling deportations.* <u>https://mijente.net/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/WHO'S-</u> <u>BEHIND-ICE_-The-Tech-and-Data-Companies-Fueling-Deportations-_v1.pdf</u>

Milan, S., & Treré, E. (2019). Big data from the south(s): Beyond data universalism. *Television & New Media, 20*(4), 319-335. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1527476419837739</u>

Mills, C. W. (1998). *Blackness visible: Essays on philosophy and race*. Cornell University Press.

Mills, C. W. (2015). Decolonizing western political philosophy. New Political Science, 37(1), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1080/07393148.2014.995491

Milner, Y., & Traub, A. (2021). *Data capitalism and algorithmic racism*. Data for black lives - Demos. <u>https://datacapitalism.d4bl.org/documents/Demos_Data_C</u> <u>apitalism_Final.pdf</u>

Mirsky, L. (2004a). Restorative justice practices of native American, first nation and other indigenous people of North America: Part one. *IIRP: International Institute for Restorative Practices*. <u>https://www.iirp.edu/pdf/natjust1.pdf</u>

Mirsky, L. (2004b). Restorative justice practices of native American, first nation and other indigenous people of North America: Part two. *IIRP: International Institute for Restorative Practices*. <u>https://www.iirp.edu/pdf/natjust2.pdf</u>

Mohamed, S., Png, M. T., & Isaac, W. (2020). Decolonial AI: Decolonial theory as sociotechnical foresight in artificial intelligence. *Philosophy & Technology*, *33*(4), 659–684. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-020-00405-8</u>

Mohanty, S. P. (1995). Epilogue: Colonial legacies, multicultural futures: Relativism, objectivity, and the challenge of otherness. *PMLA: Publications of the Modern Language Association of America*, *110*(1), 108-118. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/463198</u>

Molloy, J. C. (2011). The open knowledge foundation: Open data means better science. *PLoS Biology*, *9*(12), e1001195. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001195</u>

Moore, M., & Tambini, D. (Eds.). (2018). *Digital dominance: The power of Google, Amazon, Facebook, and Apple.* Oxford University Press.

Moraga, C., & Anzaldúa, G. E. (Eds.). (1999). *This bridge called my back: Writings by radical women of color.* Aunt Lute Press.

Morozov, E. (2013). To save everything, click here: The folly of technological solutionism. Public Affairs.

Motupalli, C. (2018). International justice, environmental law, and restorative justice. *Washington Journal of Environmental Law Policy*, 8(2), 333-361. <u>https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?arti</u> <u>cle=1109&context=wjelp</u>

Mulder, F. (2020). Humanitarian data justice: A structural data justice lens on civic technologies in post-earthquake Nepal. *Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management*, 28(4), 432–445. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-5973.12335</u>

Mullaney, T. S., Peters, B., Hicks, M., & Philip, K. (Eds.). (2021). *Your computer is on fire*. MIT Press.



Nakayama, T. K., & Halualani, R. T. (Eds.). (2010). *The handbook of critical intercultural communication*. Wiley-Blackwell.

Ness, S. (1996). On the path to the information society: The U.S. experience. Remarks of FCC Commissioner Susan Ness before the Bruno Kreisky forum for international dialogue. <u>https://transition.fcc.gov/Speeches/Ness/</u> spsn609.html

Nieborg, D. B., & Poell, T. (2018). The platformization of cultural production: Theorizing the contingent cultural commodity. *New Media & Society, 20*(11), 4275–4292. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444818769694</u>

Nishida, K. (2003 [1958]). *Intelligibility and the philosophy of nothingness: Three philosophical essays*. (R. Schinzinger, Trans.). Facsimile.

Nishitani, K. (1982). *Religion and nothingness* (J. Van Bragt, Trans.). University of California Press.

Nixon, R. (2011). Slow violence and the environmentalism of the poor. Harvard University Press.

Nkrumah, K. (1962). Towards colonial freedom. Heinemann.

Nkrumah, K. (1970). *Consciencism: Philosophy and ideology for de-colonization*. New York University Press.

Noble, S. U. (2018). Algorithms of oppression: How search engines reinforce racism. New York University Press.

Nussbaum, M. (1988). Nature, functioning and capability: Aristotle on political distribution. In J. Annas & R. H. Grimm (Eds.), *Oxford studies in ancient philosophy* (Supplementary Volume) (pp. 145-184). Oxford University Press.

Nussbaum, M. (1992). Human functioning and social justice: In defense of Aristotelian essentialism. *Political Theory*, *20*(2), 202–246. <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/192002</u>

Nussbaum, M. (2000). *Women and human development: The capabilities approach*. Cambridge University Press.

Nussbaum, M. (2020). The capabilities approach and the history of philosophy. In E. Chiappero-Martinetti, S. Osmani, & M. Qizilbash (Eds.), *The Cambridge handbook of the capability approach* (pp. 13–39). Cambridge University Press.

Obregon, R. & Waisbord, S. (2012). *The handbook of global health communication.* Wiley Blackwell & Sons.

O'Donnell, P., Tierney, E., O'Carroll, A., Nurse, D., & MacFarlane, A. (2016). Exploring levers and barriers to accessing primary care for marginalised groups and identifying their priorities for primary care provision: A participatory learning and action research study. *International Journal for Equity in Health*, *15*(1), 197. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-016-0487-5</u>

OECD. (2016). Due diligence guidance for responsible supply chains of minerals from conflict-affected and high-risk areas. (3rd edition). OECD. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264252479-en

OECD. (2021). The Digital Transformation of SMEs. OECD. https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/industry-and-services/thedigital-transformation-of-smes_bdb9256a-en Official Journal of the European Union. (2012). Charter of fundamental rights of the European Union. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legalcontent/EN/TXT/PDF/ ?uri=CELEX:12012P/TXT&from=EN

Ogunbanjo, G. A., & van Bogaert, D. K. (2005). Communitarianism and communitarian bioethics. *South African Family Practice*, *47*(10), 51-53. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/20786204.2005.10873305</u>

O'Hara, K., & Hall, W. (2021). Four internets: Data, geopolitics, and the governance of cyberspace. Oxford University Press.

O'Mahony, D., & Doak, J. (2009). Restorative justice and youth justice: Bringing theory and practice closer together in Europe. In J. Junger-Tas & F. Dünkel (Eds.), *Reforming juvenile justice* (pp. 165–182). Springer.

O'Neil, C. (2016) Weapons of math destruction: How big data increases inequality and threatens democracy. Crown Publishers.

Onuoha, M. (2018). *Missing datasets*. <u>GitHub.</u> <u>https://github.com/MimiOnuoha/missing-datasets</u>

Ottinger, G. (2013). Changing knowledge, local knowledge, and knowledge gaps: STS insights into procedural justice. *Science, Technology, & Human Values, 38*(2), 250–270. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0162243912469669</u>

Parks, L. (2009). Digging into Google Earth: An analysis of "Crisis in Darfur". *Geoforum, 40*(4), 535-545. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2009.04.004

Pasquale, F. (2020). Rethinking the knowledge problem in an era of corporate gigantism. In A. Sudman (Hg.), *The democratization of artificial intelligence: Net politics in the era of learning algorithms* (pp. 285-296). transcript-Verlag.

Pauwels, E. (2019, April 29). The new geopolitics of converging risks: The UN and prevention in the era of AI. United Nations University Centre for Policy Research New York. <u>https://collections.unu.edu/eserv/UNU:7308/PauwelsAIGeopolitics.pdf</u>

Peeren, E., Celikates, R., de Kloet, J., & Poell, T. (Eds.). (2018). Global cultures of contestation: Mobility, sustainability, aesthetics & connectivity. Springer International Publishing.

Petty, T., Saba, M., Lewis, T., Gangadharan, S. P., & Eubanks, V. (2018). *Reclaiming our data*. Our data bodies. <u>https://www.odbproject.org/wp-</u> <u>content/uploads/2016/12/ODB.InterimReport.FINAL_.7.16.</u> <u>2018.pdf</u>

Pfaffenberger, B. (1992). Technological dramas. *Science, Technology, & Human Values,* 17(3), 282–312. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/016224399201700302</u>

Piwowar, H. A., Vision, T. J., & Whitlock, M. C. (2011). Data archiving is a good investment. *Nature, 473*(7347), 285–285. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/473285a</u>

Polloni, C. (2015, November 21). Police prédictive: La tentation de 'dire quel sera le crime de demain'. Rue89, Le Nouvel Observateur. <u>https://www.nouvelobs.com/rue89/rue89-</u> police-justice/20150527.RUE9213/police-predictive-latentation-de-dire-quel-sera-le-crime-de-demain.html



- Porter, T. M. (1995). *Trust in numbers: The pursuit of objectivity in science and public life*. Princeton University Press.
- Posner, E.A. & Weyl, E. G. (2018). *Radical markets: Uprooting capitalism and democracy for a just society.* Princeton University Press.
- Prahalad, C. K. (2009). *The fortune at the bottom of the pyramid: Eradicating poverty through profits.* (5th revised edition). Pearson FT Press.
- Pratt, J. (1996). Colonization, power and silence: A history of indigenous justice in New Zealand society. In B. Galaway & J. Hudson (Eds.), *Restorative justice: International perspectives* (pp. 137-55). Criminal Justice Press.
- Preston, B. (2011). The use of restorative justice for environmental crime, 35 *Criminal Law Journal 136*. <u>https://ssrn.com/abstract=1831822</u>
- Privacy International. (n.d.). *PI's guide to international law and surveillance*. Retrieved 22 September 2021, from <u>https://privacyinternational.org/</u>
- Prost, A., Colbourn, T., Seward, N., Azad, K., Coomarasamy, A., Copas, A., Houweling, T. A. J., Fottrell, E., Kudus, A., Lewycka, S., MacArthur, C., Manandhar, D., Morrison, J, Mwansambo, C., Nair, N., Nambiar, B., Osrin, D., Pagel, C., Phiri, T., ... Costello, A. (2013). Women's groups practising participatory learning and action to improve maternal and newborn health in low-resource settings: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet*, 381(9879), 1736-1746. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60685-6
- Ptacek, J. (Ed.). (2005). Feminism, restorative justice, and violence against women [Special issue]. *Violence against Women*, 11, 5.
- Ptacek, J. (Ed.). (2010). *Restorative justice and violence against women*. Oxford University Press.
- Punathambekar, A., & Mohan, S. (2019). *Global digital cultures: Perspectives from South Asia*. University of Michigan Press.
- Quijano, A., & Ennis, M. (2000). Coloniality of power, eurocentrism, and Latin America. *Nepentla: Views from South*, 1(3), 533– 580.<u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265445599</u> Coloniality of Power Eurocentrism and Latin America
- Quijano, A. (2007). Coloniality and modernity/rationality. *Cultural studies*, *21*(2-3), 168-178. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/09502380601164353</u>
- Rahman, K. S. (2018). The new utilities: Private power, social infrastructure, and the revival of the public utility concept. *Cardozo Law Review, 39*(5), 1621-1689. <u>http://cardozolawreview.com/wp-</u> <u>content/uploads/2018/07/RAHMAN.39.5.2.pdf</u>
- Rahnama, K., (2019). Science and ethics of algorithms in the courtroom. *Journal of Law, Technology and Policy,* 2019(1), 169-186. <u>https://ssrn.com/abstract=3386309</u>
- Rainie, S., Kukutai, T., Walter, M., Figueroa-Rodriguez, O., Walker, J., & Axelsson, P. (2019). Issues in open data -Indigenous data sovereignty. In T. Davies, S. Walker, M. Rubinstein, & F. Perini (Eds.), *The state of open data: histories and horizons*. African Minds and International Development Research Centre.

- Rainie, S.C, Rodríguez-Lonebear, D., & Martinez, A. (2017). *Policy brief: Indigenous data sovereignty in the United States.* Native Nations Institute, University of Arizona, Tucson. <u>http://nni.arizona.edu/application/files/1715/1579/8</u> <u>037/Policy_Brief_Indigenous_Data_Sovereignty_in_the_U</u> <u>nited_States.pdf</u>
- Rathi, A., & Tandon, A. (2021). *Platforms, power, and politics: Perspectives from domestic and care work in India*. Center for Internet and Society. <u>https://cis-india.org/raw/platformspower-and-politics-pdf</u>
- Reardon, J. (2013). On the emergence of science and justice. Science, Technology, & Human Values, 38(2), 176–200. https://doi.org/10.1177/0162243912473161
- Reiter, B. (Ed.). (2018). *Constructing the pluriverse: The geopolitics of knowledge*. Duke University Press.
- Ribes, D. (2019). STS, meet data science, once again. Science, Technology, & Human Values, 44(3), 514–539. https://doi.org/10.1177/0162243918798899
- Ricaurte, P. (2019). Data epistemologies, the coloniality of power, and resistance. *Television & New Media, 20*(4), 350–365. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1527476419831640</u>
- Richardson, R., Schultz, J. M., & Crawford, K. (2019). Dirty data, bad predictions: How civil rights violations impact police data, predictive policing systems, and justice. *New York University Law Review, 94*, 192-233. <u>https://ssrn.com/abstract=3333423</u>
- Riedl, M. (2020). *AI democratization in the era of GPT-3*. The Gradient. <u>https://thegradient.pub/ai-democratization-in-the-era-of-gpt-3/</u>
- Roberge, J., Morin, K., & Senneville, M. (2019). Deep learning's governmentality: The other black box. In A. Sudman (Hg.), The democratization of artificial intelligence: Net politics in the era of learning algorithms. Blefield: transcript 2019, S, 123-142. <u>https://doi.org/10.25969/mediarep/13553.</u>
- Roberts, H., Cowls, J., Casolari, F., Morley, J., Taddeo, M., & Floridi, L. (2021). Safeguarding European values with digital sovereignty: An analysis of statements and policies. *Internet Policy Review*, *10*(3), 2-26. <u>https://doi.org/10.14763/2021.3.1575</u>
- Roberts, H., Cowls, J., Hine, E., Morley, J., Taddeo, M., Wang, V., & Floridi, L. (2021). Governing artificial intelligence in China and the European Union: Comparing aims and promoting ethical outcomes. *Available at SSRN*. https://ssrn.com/abstract=3811034
- Roberts, H., Cowls, J., Morley, J., Taddeo, M., Wang, V., & Floridi, L. (2020). The Chinese approach to artificial intelligence: An analysis of policy, ethics and regulation. *AI & Society, 36*, 59-77. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-020-00992-2</u>
- Robeyns, I., & Byskov, M. F. (2021). The capability approach. In E. N. Zalta (Ed.), *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Fall 2021 Edition). <u>https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2021/entries/capabilit</u> <u>y-approach/</u>>.
- Robinson, S. A., & Carlson, D. A. (2021). A just alternative to litigation: Applying restorative justice to climate-related loss and damage. *Third World Quarterly*, *42*(6), 1384-1395. https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2021.1877128



- Roche, D. (2002). Restorative justice and the regulatory state in South African townships. *British Journal of Criminology*, *42*(3), 514–533. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bjc/42.3.514</u>
- Rodriguez-Lonebear, D., & Rainie S.C. (2016). US indigenous data sovereignty network: About us. http://usindigenousdata.arizona.edu/about-us
- Rorty, R. (1982). *Consequences of pragmatism*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Rosenbach, E., & Mansted, K. (2019). *The geopolitics of information*. Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs. <u>https://www.belfercenter.org/sites/default/files/2019-08/GeopoliticsInformation.pdf</u>
- Rosenthal, C. (2018). Accounting for slavery: Masters and management. Harvard University Press.
- Roy, S. S., Mahapatra, R., Rath, S., Bajpai, A., Singh, V., Rath, S., Nair, N., Tripathy, P., Gope, R. K., Sinha, R., Costello, A., Pagel, C., & Prost, A. (2013). Improved neonatal survival after participatory learning and action with women's groups: A prospective study in rural eastern India. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 91(6), 426-433B. 10.2471/BLT.12.105171
- Sachs, W. (Ed.). (2010). *The development dictionary: A guide to knowledge as power* (2nd ed). Zed Books.
- Sachs, W. and Santarius, T. (Eds.). (2007). *Fair future: Resource conflicts, security, and global justice.* Zed Books.
- Sadowski, J. (2019). When data is capital: Datafication, accumulation, and extraction. *Big Data & Society*, *6*(1), 1-12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951718820549</u>
- Sadowski, J. (2020). The internet of landlords: Digital platforms and new mechanisms of rentier capitalism. *Antipode*, *52*(2), 562-580. https://doi.org/10.1111/anti.12595
- Salehi, N. (2020, August 31). Do No Harm. *Logic, Care, 11*. <u>https://logicmag.io/care/do-no-harm/</u>
- Schlosberg, D. (2007). *Defining environmental justice: Theories, movements and nature*. Oxford University Press.
- Schlosberg, D. (2012). Climate justice and capabilities: A framework for adaptation policy. *Ethics and International Affairs*, 26(4), 445-461. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0892679412000615
- Schlosberg, D. (2013). Theorising environmental justice: The expanding sphere of a discourse. *Environmental Politics*, 22(1), 37-55. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/09644016.2013.755387</u>
- Schwalbe, N., Wahl, B., Song, J. & Lehtimaki, S. (2020). Data sharing and global public health: Defining what we mean by data, *Frontiers in Digital Health, 2*, 612339. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fdgth.2020.612339</u>
- Seaman, J. (2020). China and the new geopolitics of technical standardization. Notes de l'Ifri, Ifri. <u>https://www.ifri.org/sites/default/files/atoms/files/seaman_c</u> <u>hina_standardization_2020.pdf</u>
- Selinger, E., & Hartzog, W. (2020). The inconsentability of facial surveillance. *Loyola of Los Angeles Law Review*, 66, 101-122. <u>https://ssrn.com/abstract=3557508</u>

- Sen, A. (1984). Resources, values and development. Blackwell.
- Sen, A. (1992). *Inequality reexamined*. Harvard University Press.
- Sen, A. (1993). Capability and Well-Being. In M. Nussbaum & A. Sen (Eds.), *The Quality of Life* (pp. 30-53). Oxford University Press.
- Sen, A. (1999). Development as Freedom. Alfred Knopf.
- Sen, A. (2009). *The idea of justice*. Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- Shankar, S., Halpern, Y., Breck, E., Atwood, J., Wilson, J., & Sculley, D. (2017). No classification without representation: Assessing geodiversity issues in open data sets for the developing world. *ArXiv*. <u>https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1711.08536</u>
- Shrum, W. (2005). Reagency of the internet, or, how I became a guest for science. *Social Studies of Science*, *35*(5), 723– 754. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0306312705052106</u>
- Simpson, L. B. (2017). As we have always done: Indigenous freedom through radical resistance. University of Minnesota Press.
- Singh, P.J. (2020). *Economic rights in a data-based society*. Public Services International. <u>http://library.fes.de/pdf-files/iez/16034.pdf</u>
- Singh, P.J. & Gurumurthy, A. (2021). Economic governance of data: Balancing individualist property approaches with a community rights framework. *Available at SSRN*. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3873141</u>
- Sloane, M., Moss, E., Awomolo, O., & Forlano, L. (2020). Participation is not a design fix for machine learning. *ArXiv.* <u>https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2007.02423</u>
- Smith, L. T. (2021). *Decolonizing methodologies: Research and indigenous peoples*. (3rd edition). Zed Books Limited.
- Smyrnaios, N., & Karatzogianni, A. (2018). *Internet oligopoly: The corporate takeover of our digital world*. Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal* of Business Research, 104, 333-339. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039</u>
- Spiekermann, K., Slavny, A., Axelsen, D. V., & Lawford-Smith, H. (2021). Big data justice: A case for regulating the global information commons. *The Journal of Politics*, 83(2), 577– 588. <u>https://doi.org/10.1086/709862</u>

Srnicek, N. (2017). Platform capitalism. John Wiley & Sons.

- Starks, D. L., Dillahunt, T., & Haimson, O. L. (2019). Designing technology to support safety for transgender women & non- binary people of color. *DIS '19 Companion: Companion Publication of the 2019 on Designing Interactive Systems Conference 2019*, 289–294. <u>https://doi.org/10.1145 /3301019.3323898</u>
- Strang, H., & Braithwaite, J. (Eds.). (2002). *Restorative justice and family violence*. Cambridge University Press.
- Striphas T. (2015). Algorithmic culture. *European Journal* of *Cultural Studies*, *18*(4-5), 395-412. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1367549415577392</u>



TallBear, K. (2019). Caretaking relations, not American dreaming. *Kalfou*, 6(1), 24-41. <u>https://doi.org/10.15367/kf.v6i1.228</u>

Taylor, L. (2017). What is data justice? The case for connecting digital rights and freedoms globally. *Big Data & Society*, *4*(2), 1-14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951717736335</u>

Taylor, L. (2019). Global data justice. *Communications of the ACM*, 62(6), 22-24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1145/3325279</u>

Te Mana Raraunga. (n.d). Our Data, our sovereignty, our future. <u>https://www.temanararaunga.maori.nz</u>

Teachout, Z., & Rahman, S. (2020). From private bads to public goods: Adapting public utility regulation for informational infrastructure. Knight First Amendment Institute. <u>https://knightcolumbia.org/content/from-private-bads-topublic-goods-adapting-public-utility-regulation-forinformational-infrastructure</u>

Tenopir, C., Allard, S., Douglass, K., Aydinoglu, A. U., Wu, L., Read, E., Manoff, M., & Frame, M. (2011). Data sharing by scientists: Practices and perceptions. *PLoS ONE*, 6(6), e21101. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0021101</u>

Thatcher, J., O'Sullivan, D., & Mahmoudi, D. (2016). Data colonialism through accumulation by dispossession: New metaphors for daily data. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, *34*(6), 990–1006. https://doi.org/10.1177/0263775816633195

Tong, R. (2018). *Feminist thought, student economy edition: A more comprehensive introduction.* Routledge.

Torraco, R. J. (2005). Writing integrative literature reviews: Guidelines and examples. *Human Resource Development Review*, 4(3), 356-367.<u>https://doi.org/10.1177/</u> 1534484305278283

Tracol, X. (2020). "Schrems II": The return of the privacy shield. *Computer Law & Security Review*, 39, 105484. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2020.105484</u>

Tréguer, F. (2019). Seeing like big tech: Security assemblages, technology, and the future of state bureaucracy. In D. Bigo, E. Isin, E. Ruppert (Eds.), *Data Politics* (pp. 145-164). Routledge.

Tripathy, P., Nair, N., Sinha, R., Rath, S., Gope, R. K., Rath, S., Roy, S. S., Bajpai, A., Singh, V., Nath, N., Ali, S., Kundu, A. K., Choudhury, D., Gosh, S. K., Kumar, S., Mahapatra, R., Costello, A., Fotrell, E., Houweling, T. A. J., & Prost, A. (2016). Effect of participatory women's groups facilitated by accredited social health activists on birth outcomes in rural eastern India: A cluster-randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet Global Health*, 4(2), e119-e128. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(15)00287-9

Trnka, S., & Trundle, C. (Eds.). (2017). *Competing responsibilities: The ethics and politics of contemporary life*. Duke University Press.

Tutu, D. (1999). No future without forgiveness. Rider Books.

United Nations. (1948). Universal declaration of human rights. https://www.un.org/en/about-us/universaldeclaration-of-human-rights United Nations. (2020). *Report of the secretary-general roadmap for digital cooperation.* <u>https://www.un.org/en/content/digital-cooperation-</u> <u>roadmap/assets/pdf/Roadmap_for_Digital_Cooperation_E</u> <u>N.pdf</u>

United Nations Human Rights Office of the High Commissioner. (1976). International covenant on civil and political rights. <u>https://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/</u> <u>pages/ccpr.aspx</u>

United Nations Human Rights Office of the High Commission. (2018). A human rights-based approach to data: Leaving no one behind in the 2030 agenda for sustainable development. https://www.ohchr.org/Documents/Issues/HRIndicators/Gui danceNoteonApproachtoData.pdf

United Nations Secretary-General, Independent Expert Advisory Group on a Data Revolution for Sustainable Development. (2014). A world that counts: Mobilising the data revolution for sustainable development. <u>https://www.undatarevolution.org/wp-</u> <u>content/uploads/2014/11/A-World-That-Counts.pdf</u>

Valiante, G. (2016, October 2). Akwesasne creates first court in Canada for and by Indigenous people. *CBC*. <u>https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/montreal/akwesasneindigenous-court-canada-1.3787969</u>

Vallor, S. (2016). *Technology and the virtues: A philosophical guide to a future worth wanting*. Oxford University Press.

van Dijck, J. (2014). Datafication, dataism and dataveillance: Big Data between scientific paradigm and ideology. *Surveillance & Society, 12*(2), 197-208. <u>https://doi.org/10.24908/ss.v12i2.4776</u>

van Dijck, J., Nieborg, D., & Poell, T. (2019). Reframing platform power. *Internet Policy Review, 8*(2), 1-18. <u>https://doi.org/10.14763/2019.2.1414</u>

van Dijck, J., Poell, T., & De Waal, M. (2018). *The platform society: Public values in a connective world*. Oxford University Press.

Van Ness, D. W. (2004). *RJ City*®: *Contemplating a restorative justice system.* Paper presented at building a global alliance for restorative practices and family empowerment. Richmond, BC, Canada. <u>https://restorativejustice.org/where/in-the-field/</u>

Van Ness, D. W. (2005). An overview of restorative justice around the world. (2005, April 22). *Eleventh United Nations congress on crime prevention and criminal justice: Workshop 2: Enhancing criminal justice reform including restorative justice, Bangkok, Thailand.* <u>https://nacrj.org/index.php?option=com_easyfolderlistingpr</u> <u>o&view=download&format=raw&data=eNptkE1rwzAMhv-K0WmDQZ2uXVf1VNhphw122bG4iZlYkjhITtox9t9cJ1wnK</u> <u>bvsYNCH30ev5DDL8FvwCaEMTUEMB8HNBsG3rlwiWTF</u> <u>JGDjXqKX2TCyrD5IY2EU_knkdJPqckkYxMAjxTJGphGBvr</u> <u>WpQ2d-EHcLpNNVS9jxLO9dOKPXCF9_</u> <u>Q15Kkni8mYwhZtrULganpXaxTZhEWUKnCG2.i3Rjh25n0</u> <u>kHj1dTCjNP-7NkcPQFSbWZD4DN4W5e3GdGfW</u> <u>9kciDWVu7vU-8RwS6xiXqi3LxQdfe653g4NGmdd</u> WMi9HldUud j5P1b0qmJKN-QK6VxVC1ajRn1 7GX2r

Viljoen, S. (2020). Democratic data: A relational theory for data governance. *Forthcoming, Yale Law Journal, 131*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3727562



Villasenor, J. (2018, November 14). Artificial intelligence and the future of geopolitics. *Brookings*. <u>https://www.brookings.edu/blog/techtank/2018/11/14/artificial-intelligence-and-the-future-of-geopolitics/</u>

- Walker, G. (2009). Globalizing environmental justice: The geography and politics of frame contextualization and evolution. *Global Social Policy*, *9*(3), 355-382. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1468018109343640</u>
- Walker, D., Nost, E., Lemelin, A., Lave, R., & Dillon, L. (2018). Practicing environmental data justice: From DataRescue to Data Together. *Geo: Geography and Environment*, 5(2), e00061. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/geo2.61</u>
- Walsh, C. E. (2015). Life and nature "otherwise": Challenges from the Abya-Yalean Andes. In F. Luisetti, J. Pickles & W. Kaiser (Eds.), *The anomie of the Earth: philosophy, politics, and autonomy in Europe and the Americas* (pp. 93–118). Duke University Press.
- Walsh, V. (2000). Smith after Sen. *Review of Political Economy*, *12*(1), 5–25. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/095382500106795</u>
- Wariboko, N., & Falola, T. (Eds.). (2020). *The Palgrave Handbook of African social ethics*. Springer Nature.
- Washington, J. (2018, July 31). What is prison abolition? *The Nation*. <u>https://www.thenation.com/article/archive/what-is-prison-abolition/</u>
- Wa Thiong'o, N. (1986). *Decolonising the mind: The politics of language in African literature*. East African Publishers.
- Wa Thiong'o, N. (1993). *Moving the centre: The struggle for cultural freedoms*. James Currey.
- Weber, M. (1978). Economy and society: An outline of interpretive sociology. University of California Press.
- Weidler-Lewis, J., & Graville, C. (2019). Whose design matters?: Co-designing making activities with and for hyper-marginalized families. *Proceedings of FabLearn* 2019, 160–163. <u>https://doi.org/10.1145/3311890.3311916</u>
- Whitlock, M. C. (2011). Data archiving in ecology and evolution: Best practices. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 26(2), 61– 65. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2010.11.006</u>
- Whittaker, M., Crawford, K., Dobbe, R., Fried, G., Kaziunas, E., Varoon, M., Myers West, S., Richardson, R., Schultz, J., & Schwartz, O. (2018). Al now 2018 report. *Al Now Institute*. <u>https://ainowinstitute.org/Al Now 2018 Report.pdf</u>
- Williams, M. A. (2019). The artificial intelligence race: Will Australia lead or lose? *Proceedings of the Royal Society* of New South Wales, 152(1), 105-114. <u>https://royalsoc.org.au/images/pdf/journal/152-1-</u> <u>Williams.pdf</u>
- Wiredu, K. (1984). How not to compare African thought with Western thought. In R. Wright (Ed.), *African philosophy: An introduction* (pp. 149-162). University Press of America, Lanham.
- Wiredu, K. (1995). *Conceptual decolonization in African philosophy: Four essays*. Hope Publications.
- Wiredu, K. (1996). *Cultural universals and particulars: An African perspective*. Indiana University Press.

Wolin, S. S. (1983). Hannah Arendt: Democracy and the political. *Salmagundi*, (60), 3-19. <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/40547750</u>

- Wong, P. H. (2012). Dao, harmony and personhood: Towards a Confucian ethics of technology. *Philosophy and Technology*, *25*(1), 67–86. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-011-0021-z</u>
- Wong, P. H. (2020). Why Confucianism matters in ethics of technology. In S. Vallor (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of philosophy of technology*. Oxford University Press.
- Wong, P. H., & Wang, T. X. (Eds.). (2021). *Harmonious technology: A Confucian ethics of technology*. Routledge.
- World Economic Forum. (2020, June 9). A roadmap for crossborder data flows: Future-proofing readiness and cooperation in the new data economy. World Economic Forum. <u>https://www.weforum.org/whitepapers/a-roadmapfor-crossborder-data-flows-future-proofing-readiness-andcooperation-in-the-new-data-economy</u>
- World Health Organisation. (2021a). Social determinants of health: Report by the Secretary General. World Health Organisation. <u>https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/</u> pdf_files/EB148/B148_24-en.pdf
- World Health Organisation. (2021b). *Global strategy on digital health 2020-2025*. World Health Organisation. <u>https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/documents/</u> <u>gs4dhdaa2a9f352b0445bafbc79ca799dce4d.pdf</u>
- Wynne, B. (1992). Misunderstood misunderstanding: Social identities and the public uptake of science. *Public Understanding of Science*, 1(3), 281-304. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/0963-6625/1/3/004</u>
- Yao, X., & Yao, H. C. (2000). *An introduction to Confucianism*. Cambridge University Press.
- Yong Jin, D. (2015). *Digital platforms, imperialism and political culture*. Routledge.
- Yu, E., & Fan, R. (2007). A Confucian view of personhood and bioethics. *Journal of Bioethical Inquiry*, 4(3), 171-179. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11673-007-9072-3</u>
- Završnik, A. (2021). Algorithmic justice: Algorithms and big data in criminal justice settings. *European Journal of Criminology*, *18*(5), 623–642. https://doi.org/10.1177/1477370819876762
- Zeffiro, A. (2021). From data ethics to data justice in/as pedagogy. *Studies in Social Justice*, *15*(3), 450-457. https://doi.org/10.26522/ssj.v15i3.2546
- Zehr, H., & Gohar, A. (2003). *The little book of restorative justice*. Good Books.
- Zernova, M. (2007). *Restorative justice: Ideals and realities.* Ashgate.
- Zuberi, T. (2000). Deracializing social statistics: Problems in the quantification of race. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, *568*(1), 172-185. <u>https://www.jstor.org/stable/1049479</u>
- Zuberi, T. (2001). *Thicker than blood: How racial statistics lie.* University of Minnesota Press.



Zuboff, S. (2015). Big other: Surveillance capitalism and the prospects of an information civilization. *Journal of information technology*, *30*(1), 75-89. <u>https://doi.org/10.1057/jit.2015.5</u> Zuboff, S. (2019). The age of surveillance capitalism: The fight for a human future at the new frontier of power: Barack Obama's books of 2019. Profile books